

Minott & Post admr^{es}3
3
3

J. A. Hammarskjold

Montgomery Esq Attk

Be it remembered that
this Cause came on to be heard
on this the 14th day of January
1879 before the supreme Court of
Tennessee sitting at Nashville
upon a transcript of the record
from the Chancery Court of
Montgomery. After Consider-
ation whereof the Court is of the
opinion, and doth so order
adjudge and decree that in
the proceedings, and decree of the
Chancellor there is no error, &
the same is in all things affirmed,

of Stewart's note for said rent
then her will be entitled to
a credit for the difference
of its value, and said Stewart's
note such credit if any should
appear by the report ordered
to be dated on the day of
date of said Stewart note.

And it further appearing
that an order of reference was
made to the court to take
& state an account, as to
rents &c, and in order
that said report may be
made this cause is re-
manded to Chancery Court
of said County ~~for~~ ^{of will} and
that Complainants recover
of O M Stewart the costs of
the affce for which execution
will issue.

(18)

12

~~15~~

Merritt & Fort admits n F. D. Hammans his exec's

Montgomery chancery court

appeal by C. M. Stuart, April Term 1875.

Filed Nov. 20. 1875

10th Equity

H. M. Fleming atty.

Transcript of the record in the cause of
Merritt & Fort Adams v. F. A. Hannum, vs
The heirs & creditors of said Hannum, in the
chancery court of Montgomery county Tenn.

Report of Printing

Filed Apr 1 1867

at the October Term 1866 of the chancery court at Clarksville, an order was made in this cause directing the Clerk & Master to unk out the real estate belonging to the estate of F. A. Kannum, taking notes with good security. I, W. J. Shackelford Clerk & master would respectfully report that I advertised that I would unk out the real estate of F. A. Kannum upon the terms above mentioned, on the 19th of Nov. 1866, at the court house in Clarksville. I did attend on that day & offered the same to the highest bidder, it was bid off as follows:

B. M. Stuart, Home farm \$415.00
He executes his note with A. D. Garrison & D. Rawls as
securities. *

Respectfully submitted,

W. J. Shackelford c.m.

State of Tennessee.

Be it remembered that at a chancery court for
Montgomery County, begun & held at the court house
in Clarksville on the third Monday in April 1867
Hon Thomas Barry Chancellor presiding, the following
proceedings were had, to wit:

Decree confirming rents

April 20. 1867.

This day this cause again came on to be heard upon
the report of the Clerk & Master of the renting of the
real estate in this cause, which report is in words and
figures following, to wit (see preceding page), which
being unexcepted to is in all things confirmed.

Stuart's Petition

Filed Oct 31. 1868.

To Hon J. O. Shackelford Chancellor presiding in
equity at Clarksville Tennessee, by interchange
with the regular Chancellor.

The petition of Charles M. Stuart of Montgomery
County Tenn, filed in the above entitled cause
now pending in chancery at Clarksville Tenn

Petitioner begs leave respectfully to state to your Honor that about the month of Nov 1866 he rented from the Clerk & Master of this court as receiver in the above cause, the late residence of Fisher A. Hannum dec'd, with about 100 acres of land & agreed to said Receiver, his note for \$415 payable 25 Dec 1867; the renting was at public auction & it was proclaimed publicly on the day of the renting that those whose renting would be put in possession of all the houses & buildings on the place; there were at the time about twenty five cabins on the place, besides three appurtenant to the dwelling. & the terms of said renting were expressly that those renting would be put into the possession of all these cabins, which caves have been rented out to the tenants & have brought money to the rentee. It was upon this express understanding that petitioner rented the property; he would not have rented the property at all without the cabins; they formed the main inducement to petitioner's bidding. He will state that twenty of these cabins were removed, a short time thereafter, from the place, by the tenants who inhabited them at the time of the renting against the protest of petitioner. He states that he has been greatly damaged by this removal of the cabin damaged to an amount much greater than the note

given for the rent. He did not get what he rented, was before stated, he would not have touched the contract without the cabins.

The premises considered he prays the court shall this his petition be received & filed in this cause, that a reference be made to the Clerk & master to take proof the amount of his damage, that his note be given up to be cancelled, that petitioners be fully indemnified for his losses in the premises, & to grant him all other & further relief ^{which} the premises will justify & as in duty bound, he will ever pray.

Hornberger & House, Atcs.

- State of Tennessee - Montgomery County,

Before me W. J. Shackelford com. appears C. M. Stuark
the above petitioner made oath that the statements in
his foregoing position are true to the best of his
knowledge, information & belief C. M. Stuark.

W. J. Shackelford com.

~~~~~  
State of Tennessee.

Be it remembered that at a chancery court for Montgomery  
county, begun & held at the court house in Clarksville  
on the 3<sup>rd</sup> Monday in Octo 1868, the Hon D. O. Shackelford  
Chancellor presiding, the following proceedings were had  
- contd -

Decree referring petition

Octo 31. 1868.

Beth unnumbered that this cause came on to be heard before the chancellor at Octo term 1868, upon the petition of C. M. Stuart, when it is ordered by the court that said petition be received & filed in the cause upon the condition giving bond & security for costs, & the court not being advised as to the matters in said petition set forth, doth order, adjudge & decree that said matters be referred to the Clerk & Master to take proof & report to the next term of this court upon all said matters in said petition set forth. It is further ordered by the court that Merritt & Fort adams have leave to answer said petition & that they answer so as not to delay the report herein ordered, the incompetency of the court being expressly waived by all the parties.

Answer of Admrs.

Filed Sept 14. 1869.

To the Chancellor of the Seventh division of Tennessee  
holding court at Clarksville Tennessee.

The answer of Merritt & Fort adams of F. A. Namm  
and co to the petition of C. M. Stuart, filed in

In the above entitled cause, on the 31 October 1868

For answer said admsr admits that petitioner Stewart  
rented the residence of F. A. Hannum deed & about 100 acres  
of land attached to the said residence, about the time stated  
in the petition & received his note payable as stated & for  
the amount stated. They do not admit that said renting  
was on condition that these units should be put in pos-  
session of all the houses & buildings on the place, but deny  
that such was the case. The cabins referred to by the petitioner  
were built by the U. S. Government for a contraband camp  
& used by it for that purpose during the war. At the close  
of the war the land was surrendered to respondents by  
order of the Bureau of Freedmen & abandoned lands, on  
condition that the cabins thereon should belong to the  
colored occupants. Under this release by the Government  
respondents acted until the land was turned over to W. J.  
Shackelford Receiver by order of this court. The land  
without these cabins was worth all or more than the  
amount, \$415-, agreed to be paid by Stewart, & respondents  
have learned that Stewart re-rented the land for more  
than he bid the same off at. They only want the estate  
they represent, probate & justice done to all parties in-  
terested therein & for that reason pray that the petition  
be dismissed

L. G. Smith, Sol.

## Deposition - Thos Glover

For Stuart

Filed Apr 16. 1870.

Ques 1. State whether you were present in Storn 1866 when W. J. Shackson Recd in his cause rented out the residence of F. A. Hamm, if so state who was the auctioneer & what was rented. Ans. I was present at the time, that is I was there a portion of the time; the auctioneer, E. Nethers was crying the property when I got there. I understood the auctioneer to proclaim once or twice while he was crying the property, that the party who rented it, could either rent out the cabins to tenants or remove them just as he thought fit. The property was struck off to C. M. Stuart.

Ques 2. Do you know how many cabins were on the place at the time it was rented. Ans. I do not.

Ques 3. Do you know what those cabins were renting for or what they would have rented for Ans. I do not know what they were renting for but I think each cabin would have rented for three or four dollars a month, this is a low estimate.

## Cross-examined

Ques 1. How did you happen to be at the renting of the property referred to? Ans. My uncle Dr. Hodgson had gone to the meeting with a view of renting the property

ed went up there because he was there. Stuart bid more than Hodgson was willing to give.

Ques 2. Did not Mr. Hodgson decline to rent because the cabin were included in the rental? Ans. He did not make that remark to me, he only said it was too high.

Ques 3. Are you certain that Mr. Withers the auctioneer stated that the under could have the use of the houses on the place. Ans. Yes sir, or he could remove them.

Ques 4. Were not the houses erected on the land <sup>rented</sup> by C. M. Stuart, by the U. S. Government as a contraband camp. Ans. I do not know, further he says not.

Thomas Glover

### Gefasition E. Withers.

For Stuart.

Filed Sept 9. 1870.

Ques 1. State whether you were present in Novr 1866 when W. J. Shackelford Run in this case rented out the residence of G. A. Hammur. If so state who was the auctioneer & what property was rented out. Ans. I was present & was the auctioneer who cried the property. The property rented out was what is known as the Hammur farm. as I now recollect. my recollection also is that Mr. Shackelford stated to the crowd that whoever rented the property

waves got the cabins. I think I also made proclamation to the same effect. My recollection is also that it was proclaimed that the cabins were put on the place without authority, & that whoever rented the property would get the cabins & could either remove them or rent them out as he saw fit.

Ques 2. Do you know how many cabins were on the place

Ans. I do not.

Ques 3. Do you know what the cabins were renting for or what they would have rented for. Ans. I do not know for certain, but suppose each cabin would have rented for from five to seven dollars per month. & further defendant says not

E. Stithers

The cause for Morris & Fort Adams decline to cross-examine E. Stithers

C. G. Smith re

### Deposition of W Taylor

For Plaintiff.

Filed Sept 9. 1870.

Ques 1. Do you know how many cabins were on the Hammon place in Nov. 1866. when it was rented out by Shakesford Rivers or whether said cabins were removed a short time after said rental. Ans. I can't state the number, but a good many. It was a thickly

settled village. A great many of them were removed a few days after the meeting. The cabins were occupied by colored people who put the cabins up & they were removed by the occupants. The colored people who built the cabins, did so I think under the impression that the grounds would be given to them by the Government. I saw Mr. Smart out here the next morning after the meeting & heard him tell the colored people that they could remain, but must pay him rent.

Ques 2. What did such houses rent for per month. Ans. The houses in the village would have averaged three to a half dollars per month for rent

Cross-examined

Ques 1. What was the land rented by Smart worth without the cabins referred to. Ans. I rented 15 acres from Smart at \$5<sup>00</sup> per acre, but it was the best land of the tract. Most of the Hammitt land was very poor. I don't know many acres were in the tract. Further he says not

J. M. Taylor

Deposition - A. B. Harrison

For Smart.

Filed Sept 9, 1870.

Ques 1. Were you present at the renting by the Clerk & mas-

in Nov 1866 of the Hammie place, if so state what was said, if anything, about the cabins. ans. I was present at the meeting, my recollection is that Mr. Wither the auctioneer, proclaimed that the rentee would get the cabins, that the cabins would rent for enough of themselves to pay for the place. I was a bidder against Stuart for the place. An important inducement to me in the bidding was the statement made by the auctioneer, that he would get the cabins, & that the rent of the cabins would pay for the place. Further he says not

A. B. Garrison

Deposition - H. Westenberger.

For Stuart.

Fri'd Sept 9. 1870.

Ques. State whether you were present at the meeting of the Hammie place by Shackelford Recmr in Novr 1866 if so state what was said or what was said about the cabins. ans. I was present at the time, Mr. Shackelford & Mr. Wither both proclaimed that the rentee would get the cabins, & said if the tenants who then occupied the property did not pay rent, the party renting the property could have them moved out right away, & further he says not.

H. Westenberger

Deposition - C. M. Stuart

For Stuart

Filed Sept 9. 1870.

Ques. Did you rent the Hammock place in Novr 1866 if so state what was proclaimed as to the renting, how many cabins were on the place? Ans. I did rent the place in Nov 1866 at public auction, it was proclaimed that the winner would get the cabins, & could get possession of the cabins the next day, but could not get possession of the farm until January. I went out the next day and looked over the cabins, there were twenty five cabins, twenty three of them were removed in a few days. I do not know who moved them away, I saw Dr Taylor's wagon moving one & I went to Mr W. G. Shackelford & told him they were removing the cabins & I would hold him responsible for them. I rented the place expressly for the cabins. I was offered \$400 for my bargain that evening, but I refused to take it.

Cross-examined.

Ques. Did you not in less than a week after renting, after seeing Mr Shackelford the clerk, have a conversation with C. S. Smith & J. E. Bailey in reference to the renting, said they not as attorneys for the parties interested propose to return you of your bid, & let the land be re-rented. Ans. I had such a conversation with

Bailey & Smith in the presence of Shackelford and I refused to give up my bid.

Ques 2. How much was the land rented by you worth without the cabins? Ans. I would not have given anything for the land without the cabins, but I rented 10 acres of the best land to Dr Taylor for \$50<sup>00</sup> per acre & the balance to two negroes for which I got 20 bbls corn worth 2<sup>50</sup> per bbl and received \$100. for some tobacco as rent. I would not have received anything if I had not given the place my personal attention during the year, and further he says not

C. M. Stuart.

### State of Tennessee.

Be it remembered that at a chancery court for Montgomery county begun & held at the court house in Clarksville on the 1st Monday in April 1875. Hon H. H. Durton chancellor presiding the following proceedings were had:

### Final Decree.

Rendered May 8 1875

This day this cause came on to be heard before the Hon Horace H. Durton chancellor & upon the petition of

C. M. Stuart, the assignee of Merritt & Fork adms of  
 F.A. Hammock decd, & the proof in said cause, when the  
 court is pleased to order, adjudge & decree that the petitioner  
 Stuart is not entitled to recover anything under his said  
 petition, but in-as-much as it appears from the proof  
 that said Stuart, when he rented the property mentioned  
 in his petition, was told by the Receiver & auctioneer that  
 he would be put in possession of the cabins thereon, &  
 that he was deprived of their use by removal of said  
 cabins which did not belong to the estate of F.A. Han-  
 num decd.

It is ordered & decreed that the master take proof &  
 report to the next term what was a fair & reasonable  
 value for the rent of the land for the year 1867 (that  
 being the year for which Stuart rented the same), for the  
 land rented by Stuart & held by him for that year & if it  
 should appear upon the coming in of said report that  
 the rent of said land was worth less than the amount of  
 Stuarts note for said rent, then he will be entitled to a  
 credit for the difference of its value & Stuarts note.  
 such credit, if any should appear by the report ordered,  
 to be dated on the day of date of said Stuarts note.

From which decree C.M. Stuart prays an appeal  
 to the next term of the Supreme court at Nashville

which is granted upon his entering into bond with  
approved security for costs of the appeal or upon his  
taking the oath prescribed for poor persons, on or  
before the duly rules.

### Paupers oath for appeal

Filed June 24. 1875

State of Tennessee - Montgomery county.

C. M. Stuart makes oath that owing to his poverty, he  
is unable to bear the expenses of the appeal prayed by  
him from the decree of the Chancellor in the cause of  
Merritt & Fort adams v. Hannum's heirs et al, at the  
April term 1875 of the Montgomery county chancery  
court & that he is justly entitled to the relief sought to the  
best of his belief

C. M. Stuart

Swear to & subscribed before me June 24. 1875

O. C. Smith S. C. & W.

### Bill Costs

|                                                                         |                                                               |                          |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Shackelford com                                                         | Pet 20 aff 20 dec 20 pro 20 depo 10 <sup>0</sup>              | 2.00                     |
| Johnson com                                                             | depo 5 <sup>0</sup> decw 20 aff 20 transcript 3 <sup>25</sup> | 8.85                     |
|                                                                         | certificate 20 seal 50 Bill cost 50                           | <u>1.25</u> <u>11.10</u> |
| ( <sup>Domine</sup><br><sup>Thirteen</sup><br>10 <sup>00</sup> dollars) |                                                               | <u>\$12.10</u>           |
|                                                                         | 60 <sup>00</sup> levied on pd postage 11 <sup>00</sup>        |                          |

State of Tennessee - Montgomery county.

I, Polk S. Johnson Clerk & master of the chancery court at Clarksville, in & for said county, do certify that the above & foregoing is a true, perfect & complete transcript of the record and bill of costs remaining in my office in the cause of Merritt & Fort Adams vs F. A. Hammis heirs & creditors, so far as the same in any manner relate or appertain to the matters arising under the petition of C. M. Stuart filed in said cause.

Given under my hand and seal of office, at office in Clarksville, this the 3<sup>rd</sup> day of July, 1875.

Polk S. Johnson Clerk

~ Index ~

|                                      |    |
|--------------------------------------|----|
| Answer of Merritt & Fort Adams.      | 5  |
| Decree confirming report of rent     | 2  |
| Decree referring petition to C. & M. | 5  |
| Decree, final                        | 13 |
| Deposition - Thomas Glouc            | 7  |
| Deposition - E. Wickers              | 8  |
| Deposition - J. M. Taylor            | 9  |
| Deposition - A. B. Harrison          | 10 |
| Deposition - H. Westenberger         | 11 |

Deposition - C. M. Stuart

12

Petition of C. M. Stuart

2

Paupers oath for appeal

16

Report of Renting

1

Bill of costs

16

Brun

Art 937  
Art. 110  
Aug 15 1895

(Art 1855)