

1

State of Florida

Be it remembered. On November 4th 1886
a notice was filed in the Circuit Court of
Montgomery County Florida which is in words
and figures following to-wit:

Notice

To A L & J E Price

Take notice

On the 10th day of
November 1886, The Indiana Alabama
& Texas Railroad company will file a
petition in the circuit court of Montgomery
County, asking that a right of way over
and through your lands be set apart and
damages appeced by a jury appointed to
assess same, and lay off right of way
for their rail road.

The Indiana Alabama & Texas Railroad Co
by Luck & Savage Atty
Endorsed. Came to hand same day ipm'd
and executed by reading the within notice
to A L & J E Price and left copy with J E
Price.

This Nov^r 4. 1886.

C. W. Staton Shff.

And on November 10th 1886 at

Petition was filed in said circuit court which
is as follows, to-wit:

To the Hon Arthur H Mumford
Judge of the 10th judicial circuit of the State
of Tennessee.

The Indiana Alabama & Texas

Rail road Company

v

A L & J E Price citizens of Montgomery
County Tennessee

Complainant, The Indiana
Alabama & Texas Railroad Company, a corpora-
tion regularly chartered under the laws of the
state of Tennessee and authorized to build
and operate a railroad from Clarksville
Tennessee in a northerly direction to Princeton
in the state of Kentucky, respectfully states
to your honor, that defendants own a tract
of land in civil district No 6 Montgomery
Co, and bounded as follows, on the South
by Brown and Mornweather, on the East
by Brown and Cox, on the North by Cox
Johnson and Taylor, and on the West by
Dudley and Mornweather, that it is my pur-

to the construction of said railroad to cross
said land, and complainant has surveyed
a line through defendants' land above dis-
cribed as follows; Beginning in Jno Cox's
line fifty feet distant from the Indiana Ala-
bama & Texas railroad center line at right
angles, thence N. $39^{\circ} 50'$ W. and parallel
with said railroads center line to the com-
mencing of a three degree curve to the left.
Thence with this curve and parallel with
center line of said railroad to the point of
tangent. Thence S. 80° W and parallel
with center line of said railroad to said
Merrimathis line. Thence about North with
Merrimathis crossing the railroad to a
point fifty feet from its center line at right
angles. Thence S. 80° E and parallel with
said railroads center line to the point
of a three degree curve to the right. Thence
conforming with this curve and parallel
with said railroads center line to the tangent
point. Thence S. $39^{\circ} 50'$ E and parallel
with the center line of said railroad to Jno
Cox's line. Thence about East with Cox's

line crossing said rail road to the beginning
containing 6 $\frac{1}{10}$ acres.

It is necessary to the
construction of said rail road that the
right of way as above described be set apart
to complainant, and that the land be
condemned for the purposes of said road,
the above described right of way is a part
of the line surveyed for said rail road.

Premises considered, complainant
prays that the regular process be issued to
make defendants named, the defendants
to this suit. That the Clerk of your Honor's
Court appoint five disinterested purchasers
to go upon said land and estimate the dam-
ages that will be done defendants, and that
they lay off a right of way as described
above, and that they report as is required
by law.

Leech & Savage atty.

We are secretary for east.

Leech & Savage

Endorsed. Filed Nov 10 1886 Genl Bailey Clerk

Whereupon a writ of inquiry was issued from said court as follows to-wit

The State of Tennessee

To the sheriff of Montgomery County

Whereas on the 10th day of November 1886, The Indiana Alabama and Texas Railroad Company filed its petition in the Circuit Court of Montgomery County Tennessee against A L & J E Price asking that a certain portion of the lands of said A L & J E Price lying in civil district No 6. of said county shall be decreed to said railroad company to be used as its road way, and set apart by metes and bounds: Notice of the filing said petition was given to said A L & J E Price by said railroad company on Nov 24 1886

Now therefore, as it is provided by the statutes of the State of Tennessee, You are hereby directed to summon a jury who will go upon the said tract of land and inquire and assess the damage to said land as the law directs, and to lay off that part of the same which may be

required for the proposed improvement by
metes and bounds, and to make report thereof
according to law. Witness my hand at
office this 11th day of November 1886.

C D Bailey esq.

Sudoreed - Issued Nov 11. 1886. C D Bailey esq.
Same to hand when issued and executed by
summoning the party as herein commanded
and filed this report with the Circuit Court
clerk. This January 1st 1887

G W Stalnaph

On November 19th 1886 the party
filed this report in said court which
is as follows to-wit:

Report of
jury

We the undersigned
summons as a party by the sheriff of
Montgomery County Tennessee to appear the damage
to the land of A L & J E True sought to be
condemned by the Indiana Alabama and
Texas Rail road company met on the day
named, 19 November 1886, met on the
 premises and after being sworn by the sheriff
as by law provided, examined the ground
and heard testimony of witnesses and apiped

The damages one hundred and fifty dollars
 for the portion of land shown by survey hitherto
 appurtenant which is a part of a tract of land
 in district No 6 of Montgomery County Texas
 bounded North by Sam Bonner and Wm. Mathews
 East by Sam Bonner and his son, South by
 Cox and West by J H Bonner, 168 $\frac{1}{4}$ acre
 tract. We agree that 6 1/4 acres taken by the
 IAT & AR Co through A L & J E Trice's land
 in district No 6 of Montgomery County has
 been damaged the amount of two hundred
 and fifty dollars and is the verdict of the
 jury.

(Signed) J F Bellamy, D H Chinnell, J H
 Willoughby, Chas Bonner, H D Marshall.

Endorsed. Filed Nov 19. 1886. C D Bailey clerk

Appeal to
 Also endorsed. From the above finding of the
 Circuit Court, pray the Indiana Alabama & Texas Railroad
 Company pray an appeal to the court, and
 and demands a jury to try this appeal.

(Signed) Indiana Alabama & Texas Railroad Company
 by Leech & Savage atty

And defendants A L & J E Trice doth the like.

(Signed) Qualls & Daniel atty.

On November 19th 1886 the said Railroad
company entered into bond and security for
said appeal as follows to wit.

Bond for

We the Indiana Alabama
and Texas Railroad Company and F P
Gracey Security hereby bind ourselves to A L
by Plaintiff & J E Price in the sum of Fifteen hundred
dollars to be paid on condition the said
Railroad company shall abide and per-
form the final judgment of the Circuit Court
of Montgomery County in matters of appeal
from the report of just of inquest made and
filed Nov² 19th 1886 upon the petition of
said rail road company to have land of
the said Prices condemned for the use of
said company; and to pay such costs as
may be awarded in said cause, otherwise
to remain in full force and effect.

Nov² 19. 1886.

The Indiana Alabama & Texas Railroad Company

by Leach & Savage

F P Gracey Security

Approved & filed Nov² 19. 1886

C D Bailey Secy

And at a regular term of said Circuit Court held for Montgomery County at the Court House in Clarksville on the first Monday in May A.D. 1887 when being present and presiding the Hon. A. G. Mumford Judge &c the following proceedings were had in said cause and entered of record in said Court to-wit:

Antenuenue

This cause is continued to the next term of this court upon the application of plaintiff. And by consent of parties trial. The court ordered that this cause be set for trial on the first Thursday after the first Monday in September 1887.

And at a regular term of said Court held for said County at the Court House in Clarksville on the first Monday in September A.D. 1887 when being present and presiding the Hon. A. G. Mumford Judge &c the following proceedings were had and entered of record in said Court (in said cause) to-wit; Entry on Minutes September 6th. 1887. This day came the parties by attorneys and thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men citizens of

Jury

Montgomery County to-wit, J P Daly, J M Ligon
 J T Burns, Thos Omeal, S C Batson, George
 Smith, L F Moore, G Smith, Wm Powell, W
 A Williams, W L Lewis and W F McBride
 who being duly elected tried and sworn
 well and truly to assess defendant's damages
 in this cause took their seats in the jury box,
 and it appearing to the court that there is
 not time to finish the trial of this cause today

Continuance by consent of parties the further trial thereof
 is continued until tomorrow morning at

Order Half past eight o'clock, and the court ordered
 that the jury be permitted to disperse until
 that hour.

Entry on Minutes September 7th, 1887.

This day came again

Motion & the parties by attorney, and plaintiff's attorney
 moved the court to strike the appeal bond
 filed by defendant from the file, which motion
 was overruled by the court. Thereupon again
 came the jury herein empanelled in this
 cause to-wit, J P Daly, J T Burns, J M
 Ligon, Thos Omeal, S C Batson, George Smith,

L D Moore, G Smith, Wm Knoll, W A Williams
 Verdict W L Lewis and W F McBride who upon their
 oaths do say they appr the defendants damage
 at Seven hundred and twenty five dollars
 as follows, for the value of the land taken
 Two hundred and fifty dollars and for in-
 cidental damages Five hundred and twenty
 five dollars. Wherefore it is considered
 by the court that the defendants A L & J E
 Ervin recover of the plaintiff and F O Young
 surety on the appeal bond the said sum of
 Seven hundred and twenty five dollars, and
 also the costs in this behalf expended and
 that execution issue.

The appeal bond filed by defendant
 is in words and figures following to-wit

Bond filed We acknowledge ourselves bound and
 by defendant indebted to the Indiana Alabama & Texas
 rail road company in the sum of Two
 hundred and fifty dollars to be paid on
 condition that A L & J E Ervin who this day
 in the Circuit Court of Montgomery County
 Tennessee commenced this action against

12

the said Railroad company by appeal from
the report of the jury of inquest made and
filed in said court in the case of the I. &
T. RR Co vs A L & J. E. Train shall prosecute
said appeal with effect to pay such costs
and damages as may be awarded against
them by said court. And also to pay said
costs on failure of defendant if convicted
Given under our hands this 16 day of Septem-
ber 1887

Signed W R Dunlap
Endorsed - Filed Sept 16 1887 C D Bailey Secy
Entry on Minutes Sept 24th 1887

Motion for
new trial &
in arrest.

This day came the
parties by attorneys, and plaintiffs attorney
moved the court to grant a new trial in
this cause, which motion was overruled
by the court. The plaintiff's attorney moved
the court in arrest of judgment, which motion
was also overruled by the court to all of
which action of the court plaintiff excepts.

Entry on Minutes September 26 1887

This cause came on to

Occurring to be heard on this 26th day of September 1887
 before the Honl A H Mumford Judge, and
 it appearing to the court that the judgment
 rendered by this court in this cause on Septem-
 ber 4th 1887 in favor of A L & J E Truitt against
 the Indiana Alabama & Texas Railroad Company
 for the sum of \$775⁰⁰, and costs suit, was
 damage ascertained by the jury as due to the
 said A L & J E Truitt by reason of said Rail-
 road company having located and con-
 structed its rail road over and upon the lands
 of said A L & J E Truitt lying in civil district
 No 6 of Montgomery County Tennessee, and that
 the lands so appropriated by said rail road
 company are bounded and bounded as fol-
 lows to wit, Beginning at John Cass line
 fifty feet from the Indiana Alabama & Texas
 rail road center line at right angles, thence
 N 39° 50' W and parallel with said rail
 road's center line to the commencing of a
 three degree curve to the left, thence with
 this curve and parallel with center line
 of said rail road to the point of tangent,
 thence N 80° W and parallel with center

line of said rail road to Chas Morrisather's
 line, thence about South with Morrisather,
 crossing the rail road to a point fifty feet
 from its center line at right angles. Thence
 $\Delta 86^{\circ} E$, and parallel with said rail roads
 center line to the point of a three degree curve
 to the right. Thence conforming to this curve
 and parallel with said rail roads center
 line to the tangent point. Thence $\Delta 39^{\circ} 00' E$
 and parallel with the center line of said
 rail road to Jno Cox's line. Thence about
 East with Cox's line crooping said rail road
 to the beginning containing 6 7/10 acres.

Upon motion of Plaintiff's attorney it is
 adjudged and decided by the court, that upon
 the satisfaction of the aforesaid judgment for
 Seven hundred and Seventy five dollars and
 costs of suit, the title to said six and seven
 tenth acres of land be, and it is hereby di-
 rected out of ~~all~~ the said A L & J E train
 and is vested in the said Indiana Alabama
 and Texas Rail road company

Entry on Minutes September 28, 1884

This day came the parties
 Molins attorney and the plaintiff moved the court
 to grant a new trial and in arrest of judgment
 in this cause, which motions were over-
 ruled by the court. The plaintiff excepted to
 all the action of the court in this cause and
 tendered its bill of exceptions which was signed
 by the court and ordered to be made a part
 of the record. The defendant plaintiff prayed
 an appeal to the next term of the Supreme
 Court of Tennessee to be held at Nashville and
 having entered into bond with F O Gray as
 security the appeal is granted.

The Appeal bond filed by Plaintiff is
 as follows to-wit:

We the Indiana Alabama
 and Texas Railroad company and F O Gray
 acknowledge ourselves indebted to A L & E
 Gray in the sum of Sixteen hundred dollars,
 to be void if the said railroad company
 shall prosecute with effect an appeal in
 the nature of a writ ~~of error~~ of error to the
 next term of the Supreme Court of Tennessee

at Nashville, by it prayed from a judgment rendered against it in favor of the said A L & J E Trice in the Circuit Court for Montgomery County, on the 4th day of September 1887 for the sum of Seven hundred and Twenty five dollars and costs of suit; or, if it fails so to do, shall pay and satisfy said debt and damages and costs and shall also satisfy the judgment of the Supreme Court in the premises.

Given under our hands this 28th day of September 1887.

(Signed) The Indiana Alabama & Texas RR Co

by Leech & Savage Atty

F P Grassy

The bill of exceptions filed by plaintiff is in words and figures following to-wit:

Petition of
J E Trice

J E Trice witness for Trice & Trice said

I am a party to this suit,

I am claiming damage for rail road running over my land. The distance the road runs on my land is about 900 yards. The quantity of land actually taken is a fraction less than 7 acres. The road does not run

straight on the land but runs as indicated
 from South West to North east corner. There
 are four cuts and three fills on my land,
 the cuts range from 7 to 19 feet in depth,
 the best of my tillable land is run over
 and cut off from the improvements, so
 is the pond that furnishes water for my
 stock, the part across the road from im-
 provements is about 65 acres. The company
 has put a pipe or culvert under one of the
 embankments on the road, that changes the
 current or flow of water from the original
 direction so that it runs into my yard
 and I have built a dam to turn it away.
 There are two improvements on the place, I
 occupy one, my brother the other. There
 are three points at which I can cross
 the road on grade line going over my
 farm, formerly when cultivating the land
 or moving crops, I could travel any di-
 rection over my place, now of course I
 cannot, to reach my barn I have a much
 longer distance to go as I do in going to
 and from my work, in some places instead

of cultivating straight along. I have to cultivate in short rows, the cultivation is more laborious and expensive. I live near Churn's station on the L & P RR, there is a switch I understand to be temporary on the North end of my land, but I have ~~have~~ to go a long way around to get to it, and again freight from this place to Clarksville is greater than I can have it hauled on wagons. The damage to the place considering the quantity of land taken the manner of cutting it through the place is at least \$1000⁰⁰. I think the land taken worth that amount, taken in the manner it is taken out of the farm, to say nothing of incidental damage, I can't see any benefit I am to derive from the location of the road, I raise corn, wheat, tobacco &c and raise stock, to protect stock from the trains the road should be fenced. The land is my homestead and all I have, and I never wanted to sell at all, It is worth twenty five dollars per acre.

Cross examined

I don't know

whether I will fence the road or not,
I don't know whether the station will be
permanent, I don't know what the freight
or passenger charges will be from that
point. To turn the water out of my yard
cost me about two dollars.

Testimony of A L Price next witness testified

A L Price

I live upon the land
over which the road passes, damage to
which is sought in this case. There are
two improvements on it, my brother lives
in one; there is in the tract 168 acres.
The road enters the place near the corner
on the south side, runs in a curve across
it and leaves it on the north side a dis-
tance above 900 yards. The quantity ac-
tually taken is about $\frac{1}{4}$ acre, I think a
fraction less, I think the land taken from
the place in the shape and place where
this is taken worth £1000⁰⁰. On the
farm there are four cuts ranging from

about 7 to 26 feet in depth, and 3 fields, giving the farm an unsightly appearance and making it much more inconvenient to get to and from work in cultivating it, and it cuts off from the improvements the best part of the tillable land. The hauling and saving crops will be more expensive because of the increased distance of haul. There are but three places to cross the road on the place. The pond which has been used for stock has been cut off from the improvements. I can't see any incidental damages to be obtained from the road. The fencing will be greater than before. Indeed it will necessary to fence the line of road to protect stock.

In crossing the place the road crossed 7 fences. I think the damage fully the amount stated. The tract of land is worth I think, about \$25.00 per acre.

Cross examined

I don't know whether we will fence the road or not. There is a spring on the side of the track next to house, A part

of the land run over by road is this land.
The line of road 2800 feet long. We paid
ten dollars per acre for the land in 1871.

Testimony of
H Marshall

Barau Marshall witness for the
land agents Trice & Trice said.

I am well

acquainted with the land of Trice and
Trice. was one of the commissioners who
valued land. This valuation was a com-
promise, amount we allowed was \$750 per
Land taken by R R is about 7 acres and is
taken out in the form of a half circle al-
most, and runs through land about 900 or
1000 yards, I think there are four cuts and
three fills on the land. Cuts are, some, nearly
20 feet deep and others not so deep, fills
about the same height. Land across R R
from house I would estimate at 65 or 70 acres.
This is in my opinion the best land. That
on the North being better than the land further
towards the lower side on the right hand side.
The R R also cuts off the pond for stock water
and runs through pasture. R R cannot be

crossed except at grade lines on account
of steepness of hills and cuts. This would
be to me, I think to any one, a great in-
convenience in farming, cultivating and
moving crops, raising crops. R.R. makes
it inconvenient to do these things. It is
the only obstruction to travelling on the land.
R.R. cuts in two the best field. Can see
no advantage the R.R. would be to Fries.
Would be a great inconvenience to me.
Damage to place considering amount
of land taken and in the way and in
the shape it is taken I put at \$800⁰⁰ or
\$900⁰⁰ at least, and in this estimate I do
not include incidental damages. Land is
fair average land for the locality, and is
good for corn, wheat, tobacco and stock raising.
For protecting stock I think it best to fence
R.R.

Testimony of
W.B. Dunlap

W.B. Dunlap witness for Fries said
I live in district No 6 of this county, am
a farmer and stock raiser. Have a large
farm which is divided by the R.R. I have

him on Triess' farm since RR ran through it. RR runs through in a half moon shape about 900 yards and I think cuts off about 60 or 70 acres of land from on the side from the house, this is also the best land in the place, part of it is excellent land and part is not so good. The part towards the North gap is the best, it is well ground, land good for general farming purposes raising corn, tobacco, wheat and stock. I find it necessary to fence RR to protect stock from getting killed by RR, and always fence with a good fence, in my neighborhood we all fence, land taken is about 7 acres, may be not so much, and taken as it is in the shape and manner it is, I value it exclusive of incidental damage to rest of land at \$1000⁰⁰. This road runs through pasture and crosses public road thru and cuts off water pond. In farming operations having to drive to crossings to cross rail road and back around to home is a great inconvenience and waste of time and labor. Crossings can

It had only at grade line between fills
and cuts. Insets are 3. and fills 4 in number
I think cuts 15 or 20 feet deep, and fills
in proportion. Land is worth \$25 or \$30 per
acre

Testimony of
Jno Willoughby

Jno Willoughby testifying for Trues said

I was one of the commissioners
who valued the land. To settle it we put it at
\$750⁰⁰, but I think it was worth more than
that. RR runs through, from S.W. corner to
or near N.E. corner, not straight, but in a
considerable curve - takes up nearly 7 acres
of land - about 900 yards long - makes 3 cuts
and fills. One cut, I think, is 23 feet deep,
others not so deep and fills proportionally
high - land fair average land. parts of it better
than others - some new ground on both sides,
this is the best - RR cuts off about 70 acres
from house side, this the best farm land, it
also cuts off pond for stock water and runs
through pasture - have been on land since
RR ran through it - it can be crossed with
teams or vehicles only at grade lines - a great

inconvenience in farming to be compelled to go around as Mr. True has to do in carrying on his farming operations, dangerous to stock, for protection of which it is safest to fence R.R. I saw pipe in culvert under hill that pours the water in such a shape that it overflows yard. There are only three points at which crossings can be made conveniently and they are so far out of the way as to be very inconvenient and thus make it much farther to go around. Land for cultivation is good for wheat, corn and tobacco and general productions of the locality and all is good for stock. Land taken in the shape and manner that it is, is worth more than the report of my shows, \$750⁰⁰, this was a compromise, I think I know the value of lands up there, I ought to know it.

Testimony of
O.H. Peterson

O.H. Peterson witness for True said I was not one of the first of view to put price on land and accept damages. \$750⁰⁰ was the assessment

wade. I think this is too small by a good deal. I would not take this money for the land condemned by the RR, but to avoid a lawsuit I would, and this is what I told Mr Savage an attorney for RR. Land is fair average land - some parts better than others. RR runs through best field and pasture pasture, and cuts off stock water pond - there are several fills and cuts, don't remember exactly how many, but think there are three or four of each, they are good size, some 19 or 20 feet deep, RR can be crossed only at these places and then at grade lines where it is necessary to go a long way around to get from field to house and back to barn. This would be to me a great inconvenience and also dangerous in tending crops and driving back and forth. I can see no advantage RR is to trace. Land is taken out in a strip width of right of way and in a half circle shape almost, about 900 or 1000 yards long, taking nearly $\frac{1}{4}$ acres lacking a small fraction of $\frac{1}{4}$ acres. This

land taken in this shape manner and from I value at \$800⁰⁰ not including incidental damage. This is Trico's homestead, and I think the only land he has. Land is good for stock, corn, wheat and tobacco, for protecting stock and keeping them from being injured or killed by R.R., I consider it necessary to fence on sides of R.R. Suppose the tract of land is worth \$25⁰⁰ per acre. In estimating damage I take into consideration damage to stock, inconvenience and expense of fencing the road.

Testimony of
Burns Peterson

Burns Peterson

I know the land well, have been on it frequently before and after the building of road. Don't think the road any advantage to Trico, would say the land taken, considering quantity shape and location worth \$750.⁰⁰

Testimony of
Chas Burns

Chas Burns

I was one of jury

of view. I think the damage to Tricus for the land taken in shape and location it is amounts to £¹50⁰⁰. It would cost £180⁰⁰ to fence the road.

Testimony of
C W Richardson

C W Richardson

I am the division engineer of the D & R R R, know the land in controversy, it is poor thin land generally. The survey crossed several fences, takes in all 6 7/8 acres. I made crossings wherever they asked me to, and told them (Tricus) that I would put in all necessary cattle guards. The drain pipe in the little ravine they mention, is right where the water usually flows at the bottom of the ravine, and conveys the water under the road bed into its natural channel on the opposite side. The land is worth not exceeding £25⁰⁰ per acre, the road was built through this land in 1886 and runs 2800 feet on land.

Testimony of
C S Memmather

C S Memmather

I know the land in controversy,

own land adjoining it. It is thin land and not worth more than £20⁰⁰ per acre. The road cuts off ^{none} ~~some~~ of Trice's improvements except one small pond. He has water on either side of the road, the pond referred to and a spring near his house. The land through which the road runs, except one small field is thin poor land, to pay Trice for the value of the land taken as it is, and the incidental damage resulting, I think £75⁰⁰ per acre entirely sufficient, full compensation. I would be willing to settle at that price if it ran through my land as it does through this. There is a station on this land, within four hundred yards of this house which will be an advantage to them.

Testimony of
J W Pollard

J W Pollard -

I know the land in dispute. It is thin land, I think £550⁰⁰ would be ample consideration for the value of the land taken as it is, and for all damage to the remainder and the owner. I don't think

it will be necessary to fence the road on either side

Intimacy of
H Whitfield

Henry Whitfield

I am acquainted with the land, know how the road runs, and think \$150⁰⁰ would be full compensation for the value of the land taken and all damage

Tax books.

When the tax books were introduced, the books for 1884, 1886 and 1887. In 1884 Price valued his land for taxation at \$1,175⁰⁰ in 1885 at \$1,200⁰⁰ and in 1887 at \$1,175⁰⁰. Price excepted to the introduction of tax books.

After the jury were empannelled and the witnesses put under the rule, the attorney for A L & J Etting stated that as there was some question as to which side had the opening and conclusion of the case, that they claimed the right to open and close the case, and that the attorney for the Railroad claimed they were entitled to the opening and closing the case, that they were the plaintiffs.

Friis were given the opening and closing both of proof and argument, and the RR excepted.

A L and J E Friis when they were on the witness stand said, they did not know whether they would fence the road or not, that they would have to fence about 50 yards to make their woods lot but did not know whether they would fence on one or both sides of the road all the way over their land. The court permitted over the objection of the Railroad subsequent witnesses for Friis to prove what it would be worth to fence the rail road on both sides, to which the J A & RR excepted.

This was all the testimony in the case

(Signed) H G Mumford Judge

Bill of Cost on following page

Bill of Cost

State Tax ^{2⁵⁰}	County Tax ^{2⁵⁰}	Rail road Tax ^{2⁵⁰}		\$750
Court Filing petition 25. Sockets 20. 3 Prosecution trials 75. ^f 120				
Writ 75. 2 continuances 50. Order trial 25. Jury 10.				160
Proceedings 25. 3 motions & orders 75. Judgment 75.				175
Decrees for title 40. 23 subpoenas 2 ⁵⁰ 21 Probate 1 ⁰⁰				375
Appeal bond 75. Transcript 6 ²⁵ Seal 50.				745

Bill of cost on docket

\$76.25

Shff & W Stalm July 15. Summoning 6 Commissioners 1²⁵ 140

Executing notice 50. Executing 11 subpoenas 275 325 465

" J D Bellamy executing	1	do	25
" C W Bowling do	6	do	150
" C M Lane do	3	do	75
" J F Rossow do	1	do	25

Witness C N Petrusm 6 days 4 dolls	^f 540	DN Chisum 3 days 2 dolls	^f 320	
" J B Petrusm 5 "	^f 640	A J Jones 3 "	^f 320	

" J B Petrusm 5 "	^f 640	A J Jones 3 "	^f 320	
" C W Stalm 3 "	^f 320	C M Dunn 4 "	^f 440	

" J H Pollard 4 "	^f 440	C A Wrennath 4 "	^f 440	
" J F Bellamy 3 "	^f 320	H D Marshall 2 "	^f 240	

" E B Ross 3 "	^f 320	J H Turner 3 "	^f 340	
				<u>660</u>
				<u>\$76.95</u>

State of Pennsylvania

Montgomery County I le D Bailey Clerk

of the Circuit Court of Montgomery County
do certify the foregoing to be a true and
perfect transcript of the record and the bill
of cost in the case of

The Indiana Alabama & Texas Railroad Company

u

A L & J E Trice

as the same appears in
my office.

With my hand and
official seal this Nov² 1. 1887

C B Dailey Clerk

Index

Appeals	7 x 15
" Bonds	8 x 11 x 15.
Bill of exceptions	16.
Continuances	9 x 10.
Decree or tolls	13.
Judgment	11.
Jury	10
Motions	10. x 12. x 16.
Notice	1
Orders	9. 10. 15.
Petition	2
Report of jury	6.
Testimony	Romney Chas. 27. Price A L 19. Bunlap W R. 22 Price J E 16 Marshall H 21 Tax books 30 Peterson C H 25 Willoughby Jno 31 Petrum Burns 27. Whitfield H 30. Pollaard J H 29. Richardson C W 28
Verdict	11.
Writ of enquiry	5.

in
Filed Nov 4/87
A. Galtier Clerk

Leech & Savage Atty's for the Indiana Alabama
Texas Railroad Company

Lamar & Daniel Atty's for A L & J E Trice

The Indiana Alabama & Texas R.R. Co
vs
A. L. and J. E. Trice

It is insisted by appellant that the court erred in this case by allowing the defendants, A. L. and J. E. Trice the opening and closing of the evidence and argument in the trial of the case before the jury in the circuit court.

See Record pages 30, and 31.

Appellant filed a petition in the Montgomery County circuit court, which petition was the commencement of this action - Record page 2.

A writ of inquiry directed to the sheriff was issued as provided by law. Record page 6.

The jury appointed reported, and from their report the Indiana Alabama and Texas Rail-road appealed to the court, and demanded a jury to try the cause, see record 6 and 7.

Filed Dec 9/79
J. H. Parker
Clerk

On November 19th 1886, the jury ^{were} to assess damages and set apart the land necessary for Appellant Railroad, made their report, which report was filed; see record page 6.

- On the 6th day of September 1887, two terms of court having commenced, and during the ^{third} term after the jury of ^{one} reported, A L and J E Rice filed their appeal bond and prayed an appeal to the court, and demanded a jury; record pages 11 and 12.

- On the 7th day of September 1887 Appellant attorneys moved the court to strike the appeal bond of defendants from the file, which the court declined to do; see record page page 10.

The jury of ^{one} awarded the sum of \$750⁰⁰ as damage, record page 7. — And the judgment of the Circuit Court is for \$775⁰⁰, see record page 11.

A. L. and J. E. Rice were the first witnesses ~~got~~ introduced, and they said they did not know whether they would give the road or not. Record page 19 and 20. Cross examinations of A. L. and J. E. Rice.

The court afterwards permitted witnesses in fixing the damage to estimate cost of building fence; Marshal testimony, record page 22. Chas. Bourne page 7 record 28.

Appellants
Defendants excepted to this, record page 31.

It is therefore insisted, that the court err'd,

1st In giving to A. L & J. E. Rice the opening and closing of argument and testimony

2nd The record shows that the R. R. filed the petition, appeal from the award of the jury, filed bond in proper time, and not until after the case was called for trial before the jury in the Circuit Court did

the Trues file their appeal bond,
and therefore the Court erred
in this.

3rd that, the jury of vireo assessed
the damage at \$750⁰⁰ and the
defendants (Trues), not being
properly before the Court by
appeal taken and perfected in
proper time the Court erred
in permitting a judgment for
a greater sum than the
award of the jury of vireo.

4th the Court should not have
allowed witnesses to testify to
any element of damage, such
as fencing, not claimed by
Trues.

Lead & Scragg

Atty for appellat

Indiana Alabama
& Texas R.R.

as } Exceptions.
of
Ia & T.R.R.

A Log E. Train