

Pleadings and proceedings had before the Hon. John S. Brien Chancellor for the Middle division of the State of Tennessee, at the December term 1851 of the Chancery Court at Clarksville, Montgomery County Tennessee, in the cause of Wm. C. Jones and Thomas B. Powell Executors of Nathan Skinner, complainants and Wm. Etheridge defendant.

Bill filed Oct 28, 1848 at Dover, 20 April 1850 at Clarksville.

To the Honorable Jerry N. Cahal the Chancellor sitting at Clarksville. Dover.  
Your Orators Thos. B. Powell & W. C. Jones executors of Nathan Skinner deceased and citizens of the County of Stewart humbly complaining shewth to Your Honor William Etheridge a citizen of the County of Stewart commenced an action at law against them on a bond purporting to have been executed by their testator to him on the 9 day of October (1838) eighteen hundred and thirty eight and payable at the death of the said testator out of his estate, which bond was under seal and was for the sum of one thousand dollars at the July Term 1848 of the Stewart Circuit Court a judgment was rendered against them on said bond for eleven hundred and eighteen dollars & 33 cents debt & damages together with the cost of suit. Your orators further show on 25 day of August 1841, the said William Etheridge executed his promissory note to their testator for the sum of one thousand dollars ninety seven dollars and 35cts which promissory note was found among the valuable papers of the deceased upon the trial they relied upon the statute of set off and plead this note in bar of the action to which the statute of limitations was plead and they were unable to prove a promise of the same within six years and from the bond being under seal they were unable to make a defense at law. Your orators now state that the said bond was without consideration upon which a judgment was obtained and they believe said Bond was obtained by fraud and misrepresentation. They show to Your Honor that the said Etheridge was wholly insolvent for some years previous to the death of the testator and at the time of the execution of said bond. They further show that the said Etheridge at the time of the execution of said bond and after the same was executed was indebted to their testator in a large amount and actually made a deed of trust upon his property to secure him and your orators are satisfied of the fact and charge the same to be did not one dollar of property or money was advanced by said Etheridge for the said bond of one thousand dollars and they believe and charge the fact to be that it was obtained by false & fraudulent representations. Your orators further wish that said paper is in its character a testamentary paper and that their testator if this view of it should be taken by the Court revoked the same by a subsequent will duly made and proved. They show ample provision was made by the testator for the family of the said Etheridge and also by giving to him a legacy. A copy of said will will be filed on the hearing of the cause. Your orators further state that the subsequent note given by Etheridge to their testator for \$97.33 was for the actual indebtedness of the said Etheridge to their testator and their testator they believe from his acts and declarations was not indebted and did not believe himself indebted one dollar to the said Etheridge but upon the record that he was largely indebted to him and they can prove and show that the said Etheridge has since the trial admitted he was largely indebted to said estate. Your orators now unable to prove on the trial the promise of said Etheridge to pay the note executed by him to their testator but have since learned the fact can be abundantly shown. Your orators charge the said Etheridge is professedly insolvent and if he should be permitted to collect this amount they would be without remedy. Your orator further shows that the said Etheridge in 1843 in the life time of their testator filed his petition in the Bankrupt Court at Nashville in which this bond was not mentioned as well satisfied was he of the fact that it was without consideration and that

this testator would take the necessary steps to have it cancelled this was done under the solemn sanction of an oath & the said bond was not exhibited. So true his Petition was dismissed by the Court as the same was resisted by his creditors as your orators are informed. Your Orators shew an execution has issued from said judgment and the same is now in the hands of the Sheriff of Stewart County and will be levied without the interference of your Honor. So the end therefore that justice may be done and as your orators are only reliable in your Hon. Court they pray your Hon. that William Etheridge be made a defendant to this Bill that he answer the same upon his corporal oath and the charges and specifications as though they were again repeated and set forth and they pray your Honor to grant them the State's most gracious writ of subpoena and injunction that the said Etheridge the Sheriff and all others be enjoined and restrained from collecting the said judgment until the further order of your Honor and upon a final hearing they pray a perpetual injunction of the same and that your Honor will grant them all other and further relief the equity of their case may require. They further state to your Honor they presented this Bill for an injunction in this case which was allowed but upon advice they have abandoned it and file this in its stead and this is the only application for an injunction in this case. Goodrich, Murray & Shuckelford Sol.

State of Tennessee

Montgomery County This day personally appeared before me Philander Priestley a Justice of the Peace for Montgomery County Thos. B. Powell one of the Complainants in the foregoing bill who made oath the facts stated in the foregoing Bill of his own knowledge are true and those as information he believes to be true.

Thos B. Powell

Subscribed and sworn to before me the 2d Sept 1848 P. Priestley J. P.

The Clerk & Master of the Chancery Court at Dover will issue writs of injunction according to the prayer of the foregoing Bill upon the Complainants giving bond and security according to law. Sept. 4th 1848. M. A. Martin Judge C

Answer of William Etheridge to the foregoing Bill, filed Feby 26th 1849

The answer of William Etheridge to the Bill exhibited against him no equity at Dover by Powers Jones Esqrs of St. Skinner. His respondent saving & reserving all benefit arising from exception to the gross mistakens & insufficiencies of the Bill of Complaint in as full a manner as this: He had demurred thereto for answer thereto he says he admits he has received judgment in the Circuit Court of Stewart County against the complainant on a bond executed to him by the testator of complainant, the same for which this judgment was rendered is correctly set forth but the complainant fail in this Bill to state at what term of said Court this judgment was rendered. The bond upon which respondent sued & recovered judgment was executed as now remembered at the time set forth in the Bill, but was not as Respondent is advised a testamentary paper by any means, the bond was made payable on the happening of an event which must truly occur, namely the death of the maker and was as completely a bond payable at a future time as tho' a fixed period had been named therein for the money owing to be due, and Respondent submits this branch of the case with confidence to your Honor. Respondent also admits that the complainants at the trial at law in the suit above named had in their possession a promissory note made payable to their testator by respondent for the sum stated in the Bill but the history attempted in the Bill of the pleadings is very inaccurate. The debts in the suit at law plead not only set off but among the pleas they relied upon the Statute of Limitations - as Respondent supposes upon the ground that the bond he sued upon commencing as follows "the William Etheridge one thousand dollars to be paid" & was barred by the Statute of Limitations - & this respondent did reply the Statute of Limitations to their plea of set off and was successful in his action. The claim arising on this promissory note has been already adjudicated by a Court of competent jurisdiction & Respondent is advised this renders it unnecessary to say any thing about how it happened to be executed, and he is advised that it is only necessary to answer the charge of his

indebtedness to the estate of said Skinner by stating that there is another Court of competent jurisdiction to try this matter & the Complts have not established his indebtedness at law, & have shown no grounds upon this branch of the case for the intercession of a court of equity. Respondent thinks if they had any other "set off" complainants might have presented them at the trial at law - for they contested every such of ground in the cause, & Respondent has actually sued the executors for money due on account to him from their testator, the testator being actually in his debt. It is charged in the Bill that in 1849, this Respondent made a deed of trust to the testator of the Complainants and had been for some time insolvent. It is admitted a deed of trust was made - and that Respondent about this time was insolvent or nearly so, or at least did not have available means to pay his debts. This insolvency was however in a main degree attributable to the testator of the Complainants, who was a keen, shrewd, and vigilant trader, and who had in a series of transactions managed whether fraudulently or not to get what this Respondent had, and greatly to embarrass him. It is charged in the bill that the bond upon which respondent recurred <sup>to judgment</sup> complained of was without consideration, & obtained by fraudulent representations to. This allegation is promptly met with a flat denial. Why the very ground upon which the bond was given was one of the modes with others by which Respondents little means were sacrificed and he embarrassed in his pecuniary affairs. Respondent is called upon to state whether a single dollar of property or money was advanced by him for this bond. Respondent will answer fully. In 1838 Respondent was administrator on an estate. He had assumed this trust at the strong solicitation of the testator of Complainants there was a sale of the property and the said testator became the paymaster for a portion of the property and expected his note or bond for the amount - payable to the Respondent as above, this note was for between \$500.00 & \$100.00, the precise amount not remembered: the time for distribution of the estate was fast approaching. The testator had put Respondent off from time to time, & Respondent was notified by the distributees of the estate that as soon as the law compelled him to distribute unless he did so they would sue, and respondent went again to the testator of Complainants to try to get the money & be ready. Respondent was as usual put off by the testator of Complainants without any money. Respondent hated to sue, as the claim was on his father-in-law and it was imposed by the testator of Complainants to execute a bond for one thousand dollars payable at his death, and take up the bond (or note) Respondent held him as aforesaid and Respondent very foolishly consented to do so. This is the history of the bond, and Respondent had to go to work to satisfy the heirs. He was not as fortunate in regard to his other as he had hoped to be, and hoped for money due from him & because as charged insolvent helped along all the time to ruin by being overreached in trade with his father-in-law the testator of Complainants - as to Respondent having filed a petition in Bankruptcy, he admits it, he was embarrassed as stated & greatly harassed and was advised to file a petition to be released, this petition was filed as before stated - but was never passed at all, and voluntarily dismissed by Respondent, & if his creditors ever did recite it this Respondent never knew it. Respondent remembers vaguely to have heard something about somebody saying it could be recited, but even this recollection is very vague & this matter had no influence upon respondent. He dismissed his petition voluntarily and tried to do the best he could toward working his way out of debt and the charges in the bill of either fraud or practice upon the testator in obtaining this bond aforesaid, or of its having been given without consideration are utterly false. If in the schedule furnished at the time of filing the petition in Bankruptcy this bond was left out or any other or any debt overlooked it was not done as charged that the testator might cancel the bond, the charge is false. There are all the allegations in the Bill material to be answered. The bond was made on a good consideration, and as to all other matters Respondent insists the parties have shown no earthly grounds for the intercession of this Court. As I now having fully answered the points to be discussed with his costs, that said injunction be dissolved be

State of Tennessee 3

Wm. M. & W. Valentine, Solicitors

Stewart County 3 This day personally appeared before me Robert T. Adams an acting justice of the peace in and for the County aforesaid duly qualified according to law, William Ethridge

4

the Respondent in the foregoing answer & made oath that the matters therein stated are true to the best of his knowledge information & belief  
W<sup>m</sup> Etheridge

Served & subscribed before me the date above written R. S. Adams J. P. for Stewart County.

Replication

The complainants in replying to the answer say that they will prove and show that the facts and statements in their said Bill are true and that the statements in the answer of defendants are not true, Henry & Shackelford. These plaintiffs now say that the matters and statements in the answer of the defendants are not true and that they are and say that the statements and charges in said Bill are true as they will prove and show

Henry & Shackelford Esq.

Injunction issued October 28. 1848

State of Tennessee. To the Sheriff of Stewart County, commissioners, attorneys, solicitors and agents, and each and everyone of them. Greeting. Whereas, it has been represented us in our Court of Chancery at Dover in a certain suit in Chancery between Thomas B. Powell & William L. Jones, complainants and William Etheridge defendant; on the part of said complainants, that the said William Etheridge at the July Term of the Circuit Court for Stewart County 1848, recovered a judgment against them as executors of the last will and testament of Nathan Skinner died for the sum of eleven hundred and eighteen dollars and 33 $\frac{1}{2}$  p on a bond under seal which bond complainants alleged was obtained from their testator by fraud and misrepresentation and without consideration and complainants further say that on the 30th day of August 1841 the said defendant executed his promissory note not under seal to their testator for the sum of one thousand dollars and 35 $\frac{1}{2}$  p which note was produced as a set off on the trial in the Circuit Court and the defendant relied on the Statute of Limitations, and complainants were unable to prove a promise of the same within six years and from the bond being under seal they were unable to make a defense at law: the complainants further say that they are now unable to prove that the defendant promised to pay said note which was executed to their testator within the period of six years which will take it out of the Statute of Limitations &c. We, therefore, in consideration of the premises aforesaid, do strictly enjoin and command you the said William Etheridge and Elika Dawson Sheriff of Stewart County and all and every the persons before mentioned, under the penalty prescribed by law, of you and every of your goods, to believe to our use, that you and every of you, do absolutely desist from all further proceedings on said judgment or execution to collect the same or any part thereof under the penalty of the law until the hearing of this cause in our said Court of Chancery. Witness J. W. Wall Clerk and Master of our said court, at office, in the town of Dover the first Monday of October in the year of our Lord, one thousand eight hundred and forty eight and in the 73 year of the independence of the United States. J. W. Wall Clerk of the Chancery Court at Dover. Came to hand the same day issued, executed by reading and making known the contents of this injunction to William Etheridge the same day came to hand. B. Deacon Shaff.

agreement filed 20. April 1850. at Clarksville.

John & Powell Esq's In this cause it is agreed by both parties to transfer the papers to the Chancery  
William Etheridge Court at Clarksville & that the cause be tried there instead of Dover.

Henry & Shackelford for Complts. Ed. W. Mifflin for defendants.

Description of Plaintiff to Defendants Mr. N. G. Morris Complainants Proofs

Question 1 by the complainants. Mr. N. G. Morris was or was not William Etheridge the administrator of Polly Etheridge's estate and if so was you his security.

Ans. Some time in the year 1838 or 1839 Wm. Etheridge administered upon the estate of Polly Etheridge deceased with myself and Thos B. Powell as his security in a bond of some eighteen hundred dollars.

Question 2 Was or was you not likely to suffer as the security of said William Etheridge and if so how did you get released.

Ans. I became alarmed at the security of Etheridge and notified him and gave him up to the County Court and was afterward sued and by giving a larger fifty dollars in sum released from any liability.

Question 3 Was or was you not acquainted with Nathan Skinner in his lifetime and if so was he or was he not the guardian of Polly Etheridge.

Ans. I was a friend with Nathaniel Skinner and understood he was guardian for Polly Etheridge.  
 Question. Was or was you no acquainted with the circumstances of Nathaniel Skinner, if so state what it was  
 Ans. I was a friend with Nathaniel Skinner and always thought him in very good circumstances.  
 Question 5. From your knowledge of said Nathaniel Skinner was it possible for William Etheridge to of lost by him.  
 Ans. From my knowledge of Nathaniel Skinner, I don't think that Etheridge or any other person could have lost anything by him.  
 Question 1st by the defendant. Please state of your own knowledge whether William Etheridge ever lost anything by Nathaniel Skinner or not?  
 Ans. I have no knowledge that William Etheridge ever lost anything by Nathaniel Skinner, and further this deponent  
 saith not.

N. G. Morris.

Deposition of D. Dawson.

Question 1st by the Plaintiff. Did or did not William Etheridge state to Thomas B. Powell one the executors of Nathaniel Skinner's estate, in your presence, that Powell & Jones as the executors of Nathaniel Skinner held a note on said estate for the sum of one thousand dollars against the said Etheridge and he held a note against Skinner for the sum of one thousand dollars for loss and he was willing to exchange notes.

Ans. Yes the said Etheridge told Thos B. Powell in my presence that he held a note against the estate of Nathaniel Skinner for the amount above stated the said Powell, said that the executor held one against him and the said Etheridge said I know that.

Question 2d by same. You will please state at what time a conversation took place between you and Wm Etheridge in relation to a note held by Powell & Jones the executors of said Skinner

Ans. Some time in June 1847.

Question 3. You will also state what said Etheridge said to you in relation to a note due from said Etheridge to Skinner's estate for one thousand and ninety seven dollars, 35cts

Ans. Etheridge said they held a note that he had given to Skinner for land and since that the land had been willed away and he did not think he ought to pay it unless he had a title for the land.

Question 4. You will please state the conversation between Wm. Etheridge & W. C. Jones one of the executors of Nathaniel Skinner's estate in relation to said note.

Ans. I saw W. C. Jones present the note to Wm Etheridge for payment and Jones said he had understood you intended to take some advantage of us as it is a note without real. Etheridge said he did not deny giving the note and he did not intend to take any advantage of them

Question 5. You will please state at what time the above conversation took place

Ans. I think it was between the 20 and 23d of June 1847.

Question 1st by defendant. You will please state whether or not Etheridge acknowledged the payment of the above note

Ans. He did not acknowledge to me that he would pay it

D. Dawson.

Deposition of Wm. Bruton

Question the 1st by the defendant. Please state if you did not marry Nathaniel Skinner's daughter

Ans. I did. And further this deponent sayeth not. Wm. M. Bruton. (This is the close of what follows below). Did you not hear William Etheridge say going from door after he had obtained the judgment against Powell & Jones the executors of Nathaniel Skinner died on the note he held against said Skinner for one thousand dollars that the suit was now over and he did not care that eight hundred dollars of the note for one thousand ninety seven dollars 35cts that plead out of date was just

Ans. Yes said eight hundred dollars of that was for the land.

Question 2d. You will please state if William Etheridge did not tell you the said note was given to him as a gift from Nathaniel Skinner.

Aus. He said that Skinner give him that note to make him safe as administrator of Polly Etheridge estate.

Question 3d. You will please state whether William Etheridge losses anything in the estate of Polly Etheridge

Answer. I do not know whether or not.

Question 4th. You will please state if Mr. Skinner was not good for all his debts

Answer. He was.

The defendant objects to Mr. Bruton testimony on the account of his being an acre and his was denied by the court that the deposition be taken.

Question the 1st by defendants. Please state if you did not marry Nathan Skinner daughter

Answer. I did. and further this defendant sayeth not. Mr. Bruton.

#### Deposition of Benjamin Guerin

Question 1st by Plaintiff. You will please state the conversation that took place between you and William Etheridge about the note that Dods & Jones Executors of Nathan Skinner held against him

Ans. I cannot recollect what was said about the note.

And further this defendant sayeth not. Benjamin <sup>his</sup> mark Guerin.

#### Deposition of Wm Brandon.

The defendant objects to this deposition being taken on account of his being one of the heirs of N. Skinner estate. Ordained by the court that the deposition of Wm Brandon be taken.

Question 1st by Plaintiff. Are you or not acquainted with William Etheridge and if so was he or was he not the administrator of Polly Etheridge died.

Ans. I am acquainted with Wm Etheridge and it was my understanding he was the administrator of Polly Etheridge.

Question 2. Was you or not at the sale of Polly Etheridge died and if so estate whether or not you bid off a negro woman Milly & child.

Ans. I was at the sale and bought the negro woman & child.

Question 3 by same. Was or was not a note marked at in the hands of the executors of Nathan Skinner and given for the purchase money of said negro woman & child which note was six hundred and fifty one dollars Ans. We give a note of that size and believe it to be the same note.

Question 4. Please state who paid said note you or Nathan Skinner

Ans. I do not know who paid the note but settled the amount of the note with said Nathan Skinner.

Question 5. Please state if you recollect in what way Nathan Skinner paid William Etheridge the above note.

Ans. I do not know how Skinner paid Etheridge

Question 6. If you will please state what the note that William Etheridge held against N. Skinner for one thousand dollars was given for.

Ans. Etheridge told me that Skinner said if he (Etheridge) would settle with him that he would give him the note of Polly Etheridge to secure him about the estate of Polly Etheridge for Etheridge told Skinner if he made that settlement he would be broke up and his securities suffer.

Question 7. Will you or now you not acquainted with Nathan Skinner financial concerns and if state whether there was any chance for William Etheridge to loss anything by him or not

Ans. I was acquainted with Nathan Skinner affairs and I think that it was impossible for any person to have lost anything by him for he the said Skinner was ready at all times to settle up his claims.

Question the first by defendants. Please state whether or not Etheridge ever lost anything by the said Skinner

Answer. I do not know.

Question 2 by the same. Did or did you not marry N. Skinner daughter or not

Answer. I did. and further this defendant sayeth not. Wm. Brandon.

#### Deposition of Thomas W. Dennis

Question 1st by Plaintiff. You will please state if you are acquainted with William Etheridge and if so whether or not he was administrator of Polly Etheridge.

Answereth. I am acquainted with him and my understanding has always been that he was the administrator of Polly Etheridge estate.

Question 3. Was you or was you not acquainted the circumstances of Nathan Skinner and if you will please state if there any chance for William Etheridge to lie anything by him.

Answered. I was acquainted in the Nathan Skinner and I always thought him good for his contracts.

Question 3d. How much do you suppose said Skinner estate worth at his death.

Answer. I would suppose him to have been worth twenty thousand dollars

And further this defendant saith not.

S. W. Dennis

Note Marked "A" in Wm. Brandon's deposition

On or before the eighteenth of April (your town) for either of us from to pay William Etheridge administrator of Polly Etheridge's estate six hundred and fifty one dollars it being for value recd of him as witness my hand and seal this the 18 of April 1838. A. (Cetera desant)

Bill of lents. Six depositions \$6.00, to running & repairing 3.50 Benjamin Brown one day attendance 75, S. C. Dawson 1 day attendance 75 Wm Brandon 1 day 75 Wm Bruton 1 day 75 N. L. Morris 1 day 75 Mrs W Dennis 1 day 75

Thos H Stewart J. P.

### Defendants Proof

#### Deposition of Robert Jackson

Question 1st by defendant. State whether or not you heard Nathan Skinner say to John D. Morris that he had given William Etheridge a note payable at his death to secure him William Etheridge as Mary Etheridge's administrator if so state what he said and when it was.

Answered. At Nathan Skinner's house he said he would leave him a instrument of writing as he John D. Morris should not lose anything and if he had any thing to pay out he should get it back at his death. I recollect it was in the year of 1838 or 9.

Question 2d by defendant. State whether or not John D. Morris was William Etheridge's security as administrator of Mary Etheridge's deed, whether or not it is relative to this security that the said J. D. Morris & Nathan Skinner was talking at least at the time you speak of.

Answer the 2d. J. D. Morris is the said conversation and he was William Etheridge's security and was pushed for the money and wanted the old man to help him out.

Question the 3 State whether or not the said John D. Morris property was sold by the Sheriff of Stewart County with a execution against William Etheridge & his security for Mary Etheridge's estate.

Answer the 3. It was sold by the deputy Sheriff and mistake made in it was on that execution.

Question the 4th by the same. State whether or not the said William Etheridge paid the said J. D. Morris the money back that his property lost at the sale you speak of and what was the officer's name that sold the property.

Answer the 4th by the same. John Morris told me he had paid him and John Mockabee was the officer that sold the property.

Question the 1st by the Plaintiff. Please state whether William Etheridge paid any thing to the legatees of Polly Etheridge's estate.

Answer the 1. I know nothing about it, not as I no.

Question the 5th by defendant. State whether or not Nathan Skinner said in the same conversation he had or would give William Etheridge a note or some other instrument of writing payable at his death to secure him as Mary Etheridge administrator or above above any thing he might give him in his will. Answer the 5th by the same. Yes, Nathan Skinner, said he would leave a instrument of writing as he should be paid at his death for the estate of Mary Etheridge.

Question the 2d by the Plaintiff. You will please state whether or not that William Etheridge was present when the above conversation between Nathan Skinner and John Morris took place.

Answer the 1d. was not.

Question the 3. Sir you or did you not state on this day that it out to be wrong that William Etheridge could collect that debt of Skinner's estate

Answer the 3d by the same. I did tell Esq<sup>r</sup> Donelleo.

Further this deponent swears not

*Robert Jackson*

Deposition of John Etheridge

Question the 1 by the defendant. Please state whether or not Nathan Skinner became the purchaser of some negroes at the sale of Polly Etheridge's property, and if so whether or not he gave William Etheridge his note for the same has since been paid him for them.

Answer the 1. William Brandon became the purchaser of the two negroes and Nathan Skinner gave him note for the money with William Brandon as his security, the negroes being hundred and fifty-one dollars

Question the 2d by the same. State whether or not Nathan Skinner was Mary Etheridge's guardian previous to his death and whether or not there was other money due and owing the negroes

Answer the 2d by same. As was her guardian there was money due and owing the negroes

Question 3d by same. Please state if there was as much coming Mary Etheridge as there was to any of the rest of the heirs of David T. Etheridge and

Answer the 3d by the same. There was.

Question the 1 by the Plaintiff. Please state whether William Etheridge as administrator of Polly Etheridge did pay over any of the money come into his hands to any of the legatees or not and if so to whom

Answer 1d by the same. Jonathan Skinner told me that he had settled with him. I do not know in what way.

Question the 2d. Please state if Nathan Skinner did not pay of some of the legatees himself from William Etheridge administrator of Polly Etheridge &c.

Answer the 2d. I do not know any thing about it.

Further this deponent swears not

*John Etheridge*

Deposition of Abram Phillips

Question 1st by defendant. You will please state whether or not you had a conversation with Nathan Skinner about the estate of Mary Etheridge and his Nathan Skinner's settlement with William Etheridge as administrator of Mary Etheridge if so state what Nathan Skinner said relative to said settlement and whether or not Nathan Skinner said anything about giving William Etheridge his note if so state all Nathan Skinner said and when it was

Answer the 1. I heard a conversation with him Nathan Skinner told me that William Etheridge out to pay that to the heirs for he had settled with him by note or notes I am not positive which. I ask him if he had ever paid Etheridge any money; he said he had not. I do not recollect the time but it was after the suit was decided against William Etheridge that the heirs of Mary Etheridge brought against him William Etheridge for the distributive share of said estate.

Question the 1 by the Plaintiff. Abram Phillips please state if your wife was not a legatee of P. Etheridge &c.

Answer the 1. She was

Question the 2d. by the same. Please state who paid you what was coming to your wife from the estate of Polly Etheridge &c.

Answer the 2d. I got a horse and mule at Nathan Skinner and he told me to receipt William Etheridge. Wm Etheridge & him were both present

Question the 3d by the same. Please state if Nathan Skinner did not tell you he would not pay of any of the legatees for William Etheridge  
 Answer the 3d by same. If he had any such conversation to me I do not recollect.

Question the 4th by the same. Please state if Nathan Skinner did not give William Etheridge his note and William Brandon as security for the sale of a negro woman and child that was sold belonging to Polly Etheridge deceased.

Answer the 4th by the same. I know nothing about it I was not at the same  
 Question the 5th by defendant. State whether or not at the time Nathan Skinner told you that William Etheridge ought to pay the sum whether or not he said he had settled the estate of Mary Etheridge with William Etheridge whether or not he told you there was due  
 Answer he said he had settled with William Etheridge the estate of Mary Etheridge but did not say when the note was due

Question the 3 by the defendant. State whether or not at the time you got the note and how you spoke of above if you understood from the parties present that it was William Etheridge paying you and not Nathan Skinner.

Answer the 3 by same. I do not know anything about that now,

Question the 4th by the same. State whether or not on that day you and William Etheridge made the settlement and whether or not the payment was after you had obtained judgment against William Etheridge and his security for want of such estate

Answer the 4th the same. There was no settlement about it it was after I had obtained judgment against him. And further this defendant saith not. Abram Phillips.

Transcript from Stewart's Circuit Court

Be it remembered that heretofore (to wit) on the 28th day of September 1847, the Clerk of Stewart's Circuit Court issued a writ which is in the words and figures following to wit:  
 State of Tampa. To the sheriff of Stewart County Greeting: You are hereby commanded to summon William C. Jones and Thomas B. Powell executors of the last will and testament of Nathan Skinner deceased. If to be found in your County to be and appear before the Judge of our seventh Circuit at the next Circuit Court to be held for the County of Stewart at the Court House in the Town of Dade on the 4th Monday in October next then and there to answer William Etheridge of a plea that they render unto him the sum of one thousand dollars which from him the said Powell & Jones executors as aforesaid unjustly detains to his damage five hundred dollars. Hearing fail not and have them and there this writ. Witness J. S. Shumace Clerk  
 on said Court at office the 4th Monday in June A.D. 1847 and the 41st year of American Independence  
 J. S. Shumace Clerk by J. W. Wall S. Clerk

Attached to said writ is the following bond to wit I acknowledge myself the above Plaintiff security in the sum of five hundred dollars for prosecuting the above writ with effect & payment of all costs and damages to the defendant on failure thereof. Witness my hand and seal this 28th day of Sept 1847. Wm Etheridge T. C. John Etheridge C. C.

On the back of said writ is the following endorsement.

Wm Etheridge 3d served 28th Sept 1847, come to hand the 4th day of Oct 1847. Executed on 10th  
 or 11th Oct 1847. J. S. Shumace 3d served 13th Oct 1847. T. C. Dawson Sheriff.

Afterwards to wit on the 16th day of October 1847 the Plaintiff by attorney filed the following declaration to wit:

State of Tampa 3d Circuit Court October Term 1847.

Stewart County 3d William Etheridge by attorney complains of William C. Jones and Thomas B. Powell executors of the last will and testament of Nathan Skinner deceased of a plea that

they render unto him the sum of one thousand dollars which they unjustly retain to his damage five hundred dollars. So that whereas the said defendants testator in his lifetime on the ninth day of October in the year 1838 at the County and State aforesaid made his certain writing obligatory or bill simple in writing bearing date a certain day and year therein mentioned to wit the day and year last aforesaid and then and there delivered the said bill simple or writing obligatory to the said Plaintiff by which said writing obligatory the said defendants testator Nathan Skinner then and there executed and delivered to the said Plaintiff his said bill simple a writing obligatory in the words and figures following to wit, due William Etheridge one thousand dollars at my death to be paid out of my estate for value rec'd of him as witness my hand and seal this the 9th of October 1838. Nathan Skinner Seal which said writing obligatory or bill simple is now due to the Court presented and shown and the said Plaintiff avers that that the said Nathan Skinner the defendants testator departed this life on or about the latter part of the month of October 1846, by means of which the said defendants then and there became liable to pay to the said Plaintiff the said sum of money in the said bill simple or writing obligatory specified according to the tenor and effect thereof, and the death of the said Nathan Skinner did and although the said sum of money in the said writing obligatory hath been long since due and payable, yet the said Plaintiff in fact saith that the said defendants testator in his lifetime nor the said defendants as the executors of the last will and testament of the said Nathan Skinner decaid although often requested to do so did not or would not pay the said sum of one thousand dollars in the said bill simple specific or any part thereof out of the estate of the said Nathan Skinner decaid otherwise to the said Plaintiff but hath hitherto wholly neglected and refused so to do. whereby an action hath accrued to the said Plaintiff to demand and have off and from the said defendants the said sum of one thousand dollars in the said bill simple or writing obligatory specified which the said defendants unjustly retains to his damage five hundred dollars and therefore recover. and the said Plaintiff brings into court the letters testamentary of the said Nathan Skinner decaid whereby it appears that the said William C. Jones and Thomas B. Powell executors of the last will and testament of the said Nathan Skinner and which letters testamentary was granted at the 9th of November 1846, of the Stewart County Court. Valentine Atty.

Plaintiff's Payment & Setoff. At this time Vt Bankruptcy of Plff at begins this suit. Goodrich & Wall  
Plff replies to plea of payment that defendant never did pay &c and especially to plea of setoff Stat Law &c. & that deft has no setoff. that the note plead as a set off has no consideration to support it. Rep. as to Stat Law and more. Mumpord Val & Foster for Plff.

Plaintiff demurs to defendant's plea of Bankruptcy of Plff Mumpord Val & Foster Atty  
Issue as to replication & joined in demurrer G. N. W.

(Bankruptcy) & copy due of trust.

The note referred to in the above declaration is in the words and figures following to wit. Due William Etheridge one thousand dollars at my death to be paid out of my estate for value rec'd of him as witness my hand and seal this the 9th of October 1838 Nathan Skinner Seal

a copy of the letters testamentary which is the words and figures following to wit.

State of Tennessee 3 to William C. Jones and Thomas B. Powell citizens of Stewart County. It appears Stewart County 3 hearing to the Court that Nathan Skinner has died leaving a written will in which you are appointed executors which has been duly proved in open Court and you having given bond and qualified according to law. It having been ordered by said Court that letters testamentary issue to you. Thos are therefore to enjoin you the said William C. Jones and Thomas B. Powell to enter upon the execution of said will and take into your possession all the property and to make to the next Court a perfect inventory thereof and make due collection of all debts and after paying all the just demands against the testator and settling up the business

of said estate according to law you will pay over and deliver the property and effects that may remain in your hands and do all other things that may be required according to the provisions of said will and the laws of the land. witness William Cook Clerk at office the 1st day of November 1846 and the 70th year of American Independence.

W. Cooks Clerk.

State of Tennessee 3 I do hereby certify the above to be a true copy from the record in my office Stewart County 3 Being under my hand at office in the Town of Dover the 28th day of September 1847 Clerks of the Court W. Cook Clerk

William Cook, Stewart County Clerk.

The last and testament of Nathan Skinner and is on file which is in the words & figures following to wit  
 In the name of God amen, I Nathan Skinner of the County of Stewart and State of Tennessee  
 being of sound mind and memory do make this my last will and testament heartily revoking  
 all other wills heretofore by me made. Item, I give and bequeath unto the children of Mary  
 Brandon dead that may be alive at my death Two Hundred Dollars each except George Brandon  
 to him I give One Hundred Dollars all to be paid out of my estate. Item, I give and bequeath  
 unto John Fletcher one fourth choice and if Anna Fletcher should outlive her husband John  
 Fletcher in that case I give her Five Hundred Dollars. I also give and bequeath unto the children  
 of Anna Fletcher that may be alive at my death One Hundred Dollars each making the child  
 of Lucilla Fielding as one of the children, I give and bequeath unto Jonathan Skinner a negro  
 man named Harry now in his position also three several tracts of land (to wit) the tract of land  
 he now lives on on Indian Creek also the tract on Hickman Creek called the nice place. The  
 three several tracts of land I have heretofore made him a deed to. I give and bequeath to my  
 daughter Abigail Brandon during her natural life a negro boy Alexander now in their  
 possession also a negro girl named Mary also Two Hundred Dollars and at the death of my  
 daughter Abigail said land and negroes is to be equally divided between her children  
 I give and bequeath unto the children of Abigail Brandon that may be alive at my death  
 One Hundred Dollars each to be paid out of my estate. I give and bequeath unto Nancy Ethridge  
 during her natural life the upper half of the tract of land purchased of Smith Lewis by Jonathan  
 Skinner for me except a piece I sold to Nancy Jones. I also leave my said daughter Nancy negro man  
 named Mingo and at the death of said Nancy Ethridge said land and negro to be sold and the  
 money equally divided between her children. I give and bequeath unto William Ethridge  
 one hundred and fifty dollars the amount of money I assumed to pay Wall & Williams  
 for him. I give and bequeath to Juicy Powell during her natural life the following named negroes  
 (to wit) Bob, Harry and George and at the death of said Juicy said negroes with their increase  
 be equally divided between all four chil. I give and bequeath unto Emeline Bruton during her  
 natural life a negro man named Jordan I also give and her Two Hundred Dollars  
 and also the tract of land they now live on and at the death of Emeline's mother I give  
 said Emeline negro Simon and nine and at the death of said Emeline said land and  
 negroes to be sold and the money to go her children. I give and bequeath unto Nathan Skinner  
 the following part of the tract of land I now live on beginning at Gattis South West corner running  
 North with said line to his Northwest corner thence east with Gattis line to Berry Williams corner  
 in said line thence north with Berry Williams' line to his Northwest corner thence east to Berry  
 Williams corner on the river thence down the river with the meanders to a rock which was  
 Wallace's lower corner thence west to Barretts Creek so as to include the Waller tract as far as the  
 creek thence up said creek with its several meanders to the fork of said creek called Parker's fork  
 thence crossing the south fork at the fork and running up the north fork or Parker's fork of said creek  
 to where the Eddyville Road now crosses said creek near the meeting house thence a strait  
 line to John Fletcher's north fork corner thence south with Fletcher's line to my south Boundary

line thence east to the Beginning all of the above mentioned tract of land I give as before mentioned except two acres around the meeting house so as to include all the land between a line running from the road to the creek and as the east side of the road east to include all of the high ground. The before mentioned piece of ground I reserved as a place to hold public worship. I give unto said Nathan the following named negroes Eli Pleasant & wife also Bridew and her children 1 set of Blacksmith Tools, 1 set Blacks, Commentary, 1 Clock, 1 Rifle Gun, 1 shotgun, 1 Horse, saddle & Bridle, one bed & furniture and instead one cow & calf, two cows and pigs, one Book case and desk, 1 trunk, six sheep, two sets gears. I give and bequeath unto my daughter Malinda Skinner all that tract or parcel of land I now lie on that has not been given to my son Nathan and at the death of said Malinda said land to go to her heirs. I also give to said Malinda the following named negroes (to wit) Doll and her children Perry, Moss and Sip, two cows and calves, 1 Horse, saddle & bridle her chairs 1 clock, two beds & furniture and Beurteau, 1 set of tea and table spoons one cupboard on half of cupboard were one small bureau, 1 trunk in head of sheep. I give and bequeath unto James Vining one bed & furniture the one that belonged to his mother and if said James Vining when he shall arrive at the age of twenty one years shall make unto Anna Vining a deed of conveyance to a tract of land that belonged to said James Vining and my wife which land I sold to said Anna Vining then said James Vining to receive from my estate One hundred and fifty dollars. It is my will and desire that Malinda shall have the benefit of one half of the apples on the spring orchard on the land I have given to Nathan for five years. It is also my will and desire that Nathan & Malinda shall jointly have the use of the cracking mill on Nathan's land. It is also my will and desire that all the poultry of every description that may be at my death shall be equally divided between Malinda & my wife Elizabeth. I give and bequeath unto my wife Elizabeth a negro girl named Margaret the balance of the cap board wear not given to Malinda also 1 Bureau 1 Table 6 chairs her chairs one trunk one spinning wheel each for and cotton her choice 1 Hatchel. It is also my will and desire that the balance of my property of every kind whether real or personal that has not been disposed of in this my last will and testament shall be sold by executors hereafter named on such terms as they may deem best for the interest of my estate and after paying all my just debts and legacies contained in this my last will together with my monies and effects of every kind to be equally divided between all of my children or their lawful heirs. It is also my will and desire that my executors hereafter named as soon as they shall qualify as executors shall have built on the same tract of land which I have given to my wife Elizabeth a good and substantial house hog house two stories high 18 by 26 with a good stone chimney shingled roof and well finished inside in a plain substantial manner house to be built in that may be selected by my wife. I have constituted nominated and appointed Thomas B. Powell and William L. Jones executors of this my last will testament hereby revoking all other wills heretofore by me made in testimony whereof I have hereunto set my hand and seal this the fourth day of March 1846. *Nathan Skinner* *W. Williams*  
Signed sealed and acknowledged before us the date above written W. Williams attest James T. Morris  
State of Tennessee November 1846.

Stewart County, <sup>3</sup> The foregoing instrument of writing purporting to be the last will and testament of Nathan Skinner aforesaid was produced in open court and the execution thereof duly proved by the oaths of William Williams and James T. Morris subscribing witnesses thereto and the same is ordered to be recorded *Recd. W. Cook, Clerk.*

State of Tennessee, <sup>3</sup> I certify the foregoing to be a true copy from the original which has been recorded in my office herein under my hand at office the 13th July 1848. W. Cook, Clerk of Stewart County Court:

There is a copy of a deed of Trust filed which is in the words and figures following to wit Jonathan Skinner from William Etheridge. I William Etheridge have this day bargained and

sold and do hereby convey to Jonathan Skinner for the sum of one dollar to me in hand paid  
 the receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, and for the other considerations hereinafter mentioned  
 all the interest I have in a tract of land in the State of Troup County of Stewart in district  
 No 9 containing by estimation about four hundred and fifty dollars acres and bounded  
 and bounded as follows. Beginning on the South side of Cumberland River at the mouth of  
 Bear Creek on David L. Jones North East corner of a tract of land said Jones purchased from  
 Nathan Skinner three with said Jones line to Smith's south boundary line three with  
 Smith's line so as to divide the out land that is in the creek and lie land equal as to  
 quality three to the Cumberland River so as to divide the river bottom equal as to quality  
 which is a part of the Smith's tract. Then up the river with the meanders to the beginning  
 being the same tract which I purchased from Nathan Skinner and for which I hold a bond for  
 title upon the payment of the purchase money. Also sell and convey to the said Jonathan  
 Skinner my corral house six head of stock cattle including mares fifty head of hogs eight head of  
 sheep three beds bedsteads and furniture three posts three skillets one bureau one clock one desk one  
 plough, to have and to hold the said property with the interest I have in the real property aforesaid described  
 to the said Jonathan Skinner his heirs and assigns forever. I do covenant and make hereby transfer  
 to him all the interest I have in the said real property vested in me by the bond executed to me  
 for title by the said Nathan Skinner to the said Jonathan Skinner his heirs and assigns forever and I will  
 forever defend the same. But this deed is made for the following uses that is to say I am indebted  
 to Nathan Skinner by note under seal date 25th August 1841 in the sum of one thousand  
 and ninety seven dollars and 35<sup>35</sup>/<sub>100</sub> cents and due one day after date including the amount of  
 money due him for the purchase of said tract of land aforesaid; and I am also indebted to  
 Jonathan Skinner in the sum of one hundred and three dollars by note due same date and due  
 one day after date now as I am anxious to secure and make <sup>and certain</sup> payment of said sums  
 and in consideration that they have given me the further time of six months to pay the same from  
 this date I demand now if at the expiration of six months from this date I should pay and satisfy  
 the said debts thru this deed is to be void but if I should not then the said trustee Jonathan Skinner  
 is authorized to sell all the interest I have in said land and the personal property first laying  
 twenty days notice at one of the most public places in the County in writing of the time and place  
 of sale one of which shall be at the bank's house door and appropriate the proceeds first to the satis-  
 faction of the necessary expenses and costs secondly to pay eight hundred dollars on the debt of  
 said Nathan Skinner and to divide the balance between the debts in himself and the remaining  
 portion of the debt due Nathan Skinner as aforesaid and if any there remains to pay it to me this  
 1st October 1841

Wm Ethridge (seal)

Attest J. C. Shuckford, & R. Chambers.

State of Troup 3 Personally came before me Wm Cook Clerk of Stewart County, Comt  
 Stewart County 3 William Ethridge the above bargainer whose name is signed to the  
 above deed and with whom I am personally acquainted and acknowledged the same to be his  
 act and due for the purpose therein contained This the 1st day of October 1841 Wm Cook Clerk  
 Recorded in the Register office of Stewart County 2d day of October 1841 A. Valentine Register.

State of Troup 3 I Thomas M. Atkins Register of Stewart County do certify that the foregoing  
 Stewart County 3 deed of trust from William Ethridge to Jonathan Skinner (trustee) is a  
 true copy of the record as appears in my office in Book No 14 Pages 199 & 200. Given under my  
 hand at office in the Town of Dovre this the 10th day of July 1848

Thomas M. Atkins Register

There is also a note on file amongst the papers of this cause which was offered as a set off

by defendant which is in the words and figures following to wit. Due Nathan Skinner  
 One Thousand and Ninety Seven dollars and 35cts it being for value recd of him as  
 witness my hand and seal this the 25 day of August 1841 Wm. Ethridge  
 Be it remembered that heretofore to wit at a Circuit Court began and held for the County of Stewart  
 & State of Georgia at the Court House in the Town of Doer on the 2d Monday in July 1848. Present  
 and presiding the Honorable M.A. Martin Judge of the 7th Judicial Circuit for the state aforesaid  
 when amongst other things the following proceedings were had in the before mentioned cause (to wit)  
 William Ethridge

3

This day came the parties by their attorneys and  
 W. Jones & D. Howell Executors of Nathan Skinner and thereupon came a jury of good & lawful men to wit  
 Allen McClellan John H. Rainwater Andrew Parikh A. F. Shryer, Jno Mallory, Luthier Kromberger Henry Wynn  
 William Burkhardt James Long William Garrison James Michaels and E. L. Roper who being duly elected  
 sworn and tried the truth to speak concerning the issues joined between the parties upon them with  
 say they find the issue in favor of the Plaintiff & find the debt one thousand dollars due from the  
 executors of Nathan Skinner deceased and one hundred and eighteen dollars and 33 cents  
 damages for detention of same. Thereupon it is considered by the court that the Plaintiff recover of the debt  
 the said sum of eleven hundred and eighteen dollars debt and damages as aforesaid  
 and the costs in this behalf expended and that execution issue to be levied of the goods & chattels  
 lands and tenements of N. Skinner deceased in the hands of said executors to be administered  
 Wm Ethridge or Wm. L. Jones & D. Howell Executors of the estate of Nathan Skinner dec'd.  
 Judg 19th July 1848 for \$1118.33 Interest since paid. Bill cost

State Tax

3.50

Sherriffs Clerk fees One cont. Order came 62/- 1 Prokete 6 $\frac{1}{4}$  5 spa 6 $\frac{1}{4}$

2.12 $\frac{1}{4}$ 

Bond & recording sum 50c 1 motion 37c

1.50

J. W. Wall & Clerk issuing two writs 75c each

3.31 $\frac{1}{4}$ 

Kelly's fees Judgment 75, motion 37, order 35, June 12, 5 Prokete 6 $\frac{1}{4}$  each

3.41 $\frac{1}{4}$ 

Motion for re-hearing 34, order 35, Bill cost 35, over fifty 35, Fifty 37 $\frac{1}{4}$

8.25

D. Dawson Sheriff Executing Summons 200/- 5 spa 1.25 Calling cause 4/- June 12

6.00

Wm. Parker Isaac Parker 11 days at 75c per day

6.00

" Joshua Garrison 8 " " " "

6.00

" J. S. Morris 8 " " " "

6.00

" Elika Dawson 8 " " " "

6.00

" William Brandon 8 " " " "

6.00

P. S. Clark Coroner executing 1 spa 25c

2.5-

Wm. Clark Clerk copy will Cop (Paid) Copy Letter, Testamentary 50c

1.1047.45 $\frac{1}{4}$ 

Execution issued 8th August 1848 to the Sheriff of Stewart County

Execution returned no money made stopped by a bill of injunction from the Chancery Court at Doer  
 served upon me this 28th October 1848 D. Dawson Sheriff.

State of Georgia I do Kelly Clerk of Stewart Circuit Court do certify the foregoing papers (14)  
 Stewart County (in number) contain a true copy of all the papers & records except Spa in the  
 case of Wm. Ethridge against W. L. Jones & D. Howell Execut of Skinner dec'd in as full and  
 ample a manner as they remain in my office and the foregoing judgment Bill of Cost &c is  
 also a true copy of the records of my office this time under my hand & private (having no seal of  
 office) at office in the Town of Doer this the 23d day of January 1851

J. W. Kelly (Seal)

Clerk of Stewart Circuit Court

Filed 25 April 1857

Pence & Jones vs William Etheridge. In this cause the defendant William Etheridge makes oath that he took the depositions of James Thomas, Abraham Philips, Robert Jackson and John Etheridge and had them mailed at the town of Dover that is to cause the depositions to be placed in the hands of N. Brandon by the justice who was as he said coming to Clarksville and who promised to bring the depositions up but who afterwards as he informed affiant mailed them and paid the postage as he informed affiant and affiant paid him the amount. He came to Clarksville this morning when he learned that his cause had been argued and was before the Court for its decision and that but two of the depositions had been received and that but two were read Thomas and Philips. Affiant says the other two depositions are important and that he could not in safety go into a trial without their benefit. They are important in showing upon what account the note of Mathew Skinner was given to affiant and in establishing the consideration of the note, to wit, that it was given to secure affiant as soon as the amount he Skinner became bound to the estate of Mary Etheridge for, all this has advised is material for him. Affiant says he did not know till yesterday morning that Brandon had not come up with the depositions immediately, took a boat at Tobacco Port some fifty miles below this place and asks for a continuance and leave to take his depositions.

Sworn to in open court 25th April 1857. R. Prentiss, btm.

Wm Etheridge

Decree entered 25 Apr 1857

Jones & Ward Esq's vs William Etheridge. Be it remembered this day this cause was heard before the Honorable Tomy H. Cahal upon the bill, answer & replication filed by consent of parties in this Court and on defendants motion it appearing to the satisfaction of the court that the equity of the bill is denied on the answer it is ordered by the court that the injunction heretofore awarded in this cause be dissolved & that William Etheridge recover of William L. Jones & Thomas B. Prosser executors of Mathew Skinner deceased the sum of eleven hundred & eighteen  $\frac{3}{4}$ /100 dollars the amount enjoined and one hundred & seventeen  $\frac{1}{2}$ /100 dollars interest same since the rendition of the judgment at law both making the sum of twelve hundred and thirty five  $\frac{7}{8}$ /100 dollars (\$1235.75) for which execution may issue to be paid to said Etheridge upon bond and security being given to refund the same if ordered by the court herein the costs arising on the dissolution of the injunction.

Decree entered 25 Sept 1857.

Pence & Jones vs William Etheridge. In this cause the defendant filed his affidavit asking a continuance whereupon and for the reason disclosed it is ordered by the Court that the same be granted continuance and leave is given the defendant to take proof and to the complainants to take rebutting proof for three months.

Decree entered 16th December 1857

Pence & Jones vs William Etheridge. Be it remembered that on the 15th day of December 1857 this cause came on to be heard before the Hon. John S. Brown Chancellor, upon the pleadings and proof in the cause and it appearing to the court that at the July Term 1848 of the Stewart Circuit Court a judgment was rendered against the Complainants as the executors of Mathew Skinner deceased for the sum of \$1118  $\frac{3}{4}$ /100 as a paper purporting to have been executed by the said Skinner in his lifetime for the sum of \$10000 to com. Etheridge to be paid out of his estate after his death and the court being of the opinion that said paper is testamentary in its character and that the judgment rendered as aforesaid is void and inoperative and the court being of the opinion further from the proof in the cause that said paper was not considered, date, therefore, order, adjudged, and decree that the defendant com. Etheridge be enjoined from further enforcing the collection of said judgment and the injunction originally granted in this cause to make perpetual and that the Complainants pay the costs and have execution run against Wm Etheridge. And the defendant prays an appeal to the next term of

the Supreme Court at Nashville on his entering into bond and security and the appeal is granted.

*Appeal Bond.*

Know all men by these presents, That we William Etheridge appellant and Nathan Brandon security are held and firmly bound unto Thomas B. Powell and William C. Jones Executors of Nathan Skinner in the sum of five hundred dollars current money of said state to be paid unto the said T. B. Powell & W. C. Jones their heirs, executors, administrators, &c., jointly and severally, firmly by these presents, sealed with our seals and dated the 18<sup>th</sup> day of December 1857. The condition of the above obligation is such that whereas in a cause on an injunction Bill prosecuted in the Chancery Court at Clarksville by Thomas B. Powell and William C. Jones Executors of Nathan Skinner complainants against William Etheridge defendant a decree was this day rendered by said court against said William Etheridge enjoining him from further enforcing the collection of the judgment in the bill and pleadings mentioned and for the costs from which decree the said William Etheridge prayed an appeal to the next supreme court to be held at Nashville. Now if the said William Etheridge shall prosecute said appeal with effect or in case of failure therin shall pay and satisfy all damages and costs which may be awarded against him for wrongfully prosecuting said appeal, and shall further abide by, perform, and discharge the sentence, judgment, or decree, which said court may make therein, then the above obligation to be void; else to be and remain in full force and effect. - Signed, sealed and delivered, the day and date above written

N. Brandon [Seal]

*State of Tennessee*

I, A. L. Anderson, Prostely Clerk & Master of the Chancery Court at Clarksville, Montgomery County, Tennessee, certify that the foregoing, double pages, from 1. to 16. inclusive contain a full, fair and entire copy of the papers of the cause in said court and the proceedings thereon, of which they purport to be a transcript, as the same are on file and of record in my office.

(S. A. A.)

In testimony whereof I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my private seal, having no seal of office, at office in the town of Clarksville, the 1<sup>st</sup>. day of January 1852 and 76. year of the Independence of the United States.

S. Priestley, Clerk

Decree 16. Dec. 1851. State Tax

3.75

Bond 15 <sup>d</sup> Security 10 <sup>d</sup> record. Bond 15 <sup>d</sup> - 1. C. of Bill 3690. 3. 69 - Sp. to Am. 7 <sup>d</sup> of Aug. 1. 00 3. aff dts. 574 <sup>d</sup> drawing decree 4. C. S. 7 <sup>d</sup> of Emly. do. 7 <sup>d</sup> 3. deds. 1. 12 <sup>d</sup> Setting 12 <sup>d</sup> of Rule 12 <sup>d</sup> - 2. Continuance, 5 <sup>d</sup> of 4. Orders 1. 00 - Bill cost. 25 <sup>d</sup> transcript 12. 03 2. 12. 03 - Certificate &c. 1. 00 - Order for Appeal 2. 5 <sup>d</sup> Appeal & bond 75 <sup>d</sup> postage 30 <sup>d</sup>	25.35 <sup>d</sup>
Amison - Sp. to Am. 62 <sup>d</sup> - Aug. 1. 00 - Wm. Brandon's Notice 50 <sup>d</sup> 9. Spas. 2. 25	
Amison. I. Notices 1. 00 - Weston 10. 3. depo. 3. 00 - Stewart 6. depo. 6. 00	
Etheridge 1. 0. 1. Spas. 12. 0 <sup>d</sup> - Weston 1. 0. 7. Spas. 87. 0 <sup>d</sup>	15.35 <sup>d</sup>
Ab. Phillips 1. day 75 <sup>d</sup> - mileage 0. 04	1.79
	1.79

Ben Cushing, R. Jackson, Mrs. Ettridge, C. Dawson  
W. Brandon, W. Brinton, A. G. Morris & W. Dennis, day & 7<sup>th</sup> 6.00 7.79  
S. W. Kelly, Elk. Stewart Circuit Court, transcript fees 6.38  
\$ 58.46

Emmett & Sons Esq.  
of Atlantic Insurance

✓ Sandusky

William Ellingson

March 25<sup>th</sup> 1852.

J. F. Green

Promised and  
will discharge - Paid  
back party to pay half  
the conty.

No. 0 67-  
J. F. Green

Powell & Jones } This is a bill filed by the  
W<sup>m</sup> Etheridge } Compt<sup>s</sup> as executors of Hanover  
in which they ask the court  
to enjoin a judgment recovered against them  
by W<sup>m</sup> Etheridge, which they say was founded  
on a note executed by their Testator to said  
Etheridge payable at his Testator's death;  
Compt<sup>s</sup> in their bill that the note was without  
consideration, was obtained by fraud, and that  
it is testamentary in its character, and therefore  
a judgment ~~could~~ should not be rendered  
on it,

For Etheridge we contend that the proof shows  
a consideration, see the depositions of Jackson  
Phelps and W<sup>m</sup> Brandon,

There is no proof of any fraud and all fraud  
is denied in the answer,

The last and only question is; Is the note  
for \$1000 such a paper as a court of law  
could pronounce a judgment on, and would  
the judgment when declared be void, voida-  
ble or valid?

If the judgment is voidable only ~~the court~~  
a court of Equity would not disturb it, but  
would require the Plaintiffs to discharge themselves  
therefrom by appeal or writ of error from the  
Common Court to this Court,

Partly is the judgment void? The Circuit Court  
certainly had jurisdiction both of the parties and the  
subject matter.

Powell & Jones Ex<sup>r</sup> of Skinner

v  
Mr Etheridge

1 The note is under seal, and if prima facie a testamentary provision because payable "on the death of the maker out of his estate" it is competent to show that it is not in fact testamentary. This can be done by showing that it was delivered to the payee and being sealed imports a consideration, but if this is not so, the note being delivered and proof made that it was based upon a consideration necessary, it falls within the case cited in 3 U. S. Digest 679 3 Years 389 which is as follows.

"By the word give in a deed to take effect after the death of the maker is to be regarded as a Will. But an instrument under seal promising to pay money on your after the death of the maker in consideration of services rendered is a bond not a will."

So I take it that any writing under seal promising to pay money, delivered to the payee, though payable at the death is not testamentary, and the death is only the time limited for the payment. Were the instrument to use the word give it were difficult for the use of this word would repel the idea of a consideration and would import a gratuity.

But still should it be regarded prima facie testamentary, as it involves a question of intention of the maker we may

prove the intention, and this we may do by showing  
that the note was in point of fact given not as a  
gratuity but to cover an actual indebtedness

2 ~~Where a court has jurisdiction~~ It is the general doctrine  
that where a paper cannot operate as a deed or be used  
as a promissory note it may be as a testamentary paper.  
These questions cannot arise upon papers purporting as a  
deed or promissory note or bill single delivered by the maker,  
for here they can operate, and is only when the  
papers have been made and not delivered to the  
party, but retained by the maker or deposited with an  
other to take effect on his death, then it becomes a  
question with what intent the papers were made

and is the subject of proof. Taylor v Taylor 2 N.Y. 59  
3 It was competent for the ~~court~~ Circuit Court to have  
heard and determine all the questions raised by the  
pleadings in the case enjoined, ~~the~~, to wit,

1 The character of the paper sued upon as to whether no  
will

2 The question of payment

3 of set off & Stat. lim

4 Fraud

D  
Ward & Jones Esq

v

Mr. Ellings.

Ward

Ward

Powell & Jones 3  
vs  
William Etheridge 3

Defendant, witness

1840 James Thomas, said he had a conversation with Nathan Skinner as to how he would get his distributive share of Mary Etheridge's estate. Skinner told him that he had fixed that, that her debt ~~was~~ should be paid after his death. This was after the settling of the estate of Mary Etheridge; I told Skinner that I intended to sue William Etheridge and his securities for my part of the estate, it seemed to mortify him, he then said he had settled with William Etheridge, and had fixed it so that it would be paid at his death; William Brandon purchased a negro woman & child of Mary Etheridge's estate at over \$650. Skinner refused to go security, but said he would give his own note.

Abram Phelps, said after suit was brought against Wm Etheridge by the distributees of Mary Etheridge, he heard Nathan Skinner say that Etheridge ought to pay them that he had settled with him by note or notes, not positive words. Said he asked Skinner if he had ever paid Etheridge any thing, said no.

Robert Jackson, <sup>Skinner</sup> said to Jno D Morris (secy of William Etheridge) that if he had any thing to pay he should get back at his death, this in 1838 or 9. Skinner said he would leave an instrument of writing so that William Etheridge should be paid for Mary Etheridge's estate.

John Etheridge Mr Brandon purchased the two negroes, but Skinner gave his note to Wm Etheridge adm<sup>r</sup> of Mary Etheridge with Mr Brandon Secy for \$650. Skinner was Mary Etheridge's guard and owed her other money. Skinner said had settled with Wm Etheridge but did not say in what way.

Elisha Dawson

The complainants call on this witness to state a conversation between Thomas B. Powell one of the exec<sup>d</sup> and defendant that took place in June 1849 to complainants question

Witness answers, that Ethridge said, they the exec<sup>d</sup> held a note I gave Skinner for land for about \$1000, that Skinner had will'd away the land, and that he did not think he ought to pay it until he could get a title to the land; also to the complainants question Ethridge said he held a note of \$1000 against them, did not promise to pay the note to Skinner.

Wm Brundon Complainant witness

says he bought the negroes and he and Skinner gave the note for \$651. says he don't know who paid the note, he produced by the exec<sup>d</sup> dated 18<sup>th</sup> April 1838, but says he settled it with Skinner. No <sup>Skinner</sup> never paid any money to Ethridge but has the note - says will leave a writing by which Thomas will get his share of Mary Ethridge's estate, and to whom, that he will not lose any thing at his death all will be paid

To complainants question witness says Ethridge told him that Skinner said to him if you will settle with me I will give the note for \$1000 &c in the apx.

To complainants question Wm Brundon, Ethridge said note was given to James Ethridge as settlor of Mary Ethridge

Abraham Phillips. Said Skinner told him had settled with Wm Ethridge adm' of Mary Ethridge by note

James Thomas said Skinner said had settled with Ethridge, and had so fixed it that he Thomas would get his distributive share of Mary Ethridge's estate at her death

Robert Jackson in 1839 heard Skinner in conversation with Morris, say he would leave an instrument of writing so that William Ethridge would be paid Mary Ethridge's estate at her death, and that Morris' decendants of Ethridge would lose nothing

John Ethridge. Says W Brandon purchased the negro woman & child at \$650 and Skinner gave his note with Brandon security that Skinner was guard of Mary Ethridge and owed her other money

Skinner said had settled with Wm Ethridge and not say how

W Brandon, said Skinner gave his note for the negroes, that he settled it with Skinner, does not know who paid Ethridge  
Ans to complainant's question Brandon says, William Ethridge told him Skinner gave him the note upon settlement to save Ethridge and his decendants H

Eleazar Duerden is asked by complainant for Wm Ethridge's explanation of the \$1000 note and says, Skinner gave him the note

Powell & Jones

W

Spudell & Jones

Chief Material of the evidence

Set off  
Regent  
Banking Co  
Dec 29 Nov 1881

Paniel & Jones } The paper upon which  
or           } the debt was brought  
Wm Ettrig } in the cause was to  
              } be paid out of the estate  
of Skinner at his death,

This is clearly a Chancery paper  
and should have been proved as  
such. The case of McLean vs McLean  
& Humphreys 453, 454. see also Mag  
Desert & Bol Zelle well page 1038 and  
the authorities.

If the paper sued upon is a bill in  
Chancery. Then the judgment at law is  
void. The circuit court could not  
take jurisdiction and being void  
a Chancery court has jurisdiction  
to enjoin the collection. Cases like  
us Hartfield 3 Dyer 360 Armstrong  
vs McConnell 1 Dyer McCarty vs  
Elliott 10 Dyer 310, 315

D. The consideration of the note or  
Bent in this case is without  
consideration in 1841 Ettrig  
executed his note for \$100  
and executed a deed of trust

to secure the place he left  
his share of an Estate of  
Mary Etheridge see Phelps  
Deposition we think the  
judgment of the Chancellor  
correct

*John Whackelford*

Pennel & Sons  
45 Bent  
Low Elms

1118.34

67.10

201.35

33.15

234.85

111.5.33

48

16

28.5

48

76.8

16

460.8

76.8

1228.8